Last page of docket
SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [03/01/96]

CASE NBR: [95107186] CFX STATUS: [PENDING CONFERENCE]
SHORT TITLE: [Jones, Sylvester]
VERSUS [ABC-TV, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [121995]

PAGE: [01]

	DATE	NOTE	PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS
1	Oct 23 1995	P	Petition for writ of certiorari and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis filed. (Response due January 18, 1996)
5	Oct 23 1995	D	Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis filed.
3	Feb 1 1996		DISTRIBUTED. February 16, 1996 (Page 36)
4	Feb 2 1996		Waiver of right of respondents Paramount Pictures Corporation, et al. to respond filed.
6	Feb 20 1996		REDISTRIBUTED. February 23, 1996 (Page 15)
7	Feb 26 1996		Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis DENIED. Dissenting opinion by Justice Stevens. Opinion per curiam. (Detached opinion.) Justice Breyer OUT.

EDITOR'S NOTE

THE FOLLOWING PAGES WERE POOR HARD COPY AT THE TIME OF FILMING. IF AND WHEN A BETTER COPY CAN BE OBTAINED, A NEW FICHE WILL BE ISSUED.

(2)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Sylvester Jones Pro Se Petitioner
1220 Warren Street Apartment 404E
St.Louis,Missouri 63106
(314) 621-7047

10+pp

95-7186

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
OCT 23 1995
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER TERM 1995

NO.

SYLVESTER JONES,

PETITIONER.

VS.

ABC TELEVISION NETWORK;ROONE AREDGE, RESIDENT;JOANNA BISTANY,VICE PRESIDENT; ALAN WURTEL,PROGRAMMING VICE PRESIDENT; PAUL FRIEDMAN,NEWS VICE PRESIDENT;RICHARD WALD NEWS VICE PRESIDENT;ROBERT MURPHY, NEWS VICE PRESIDENT;KRIS SEBASTIAN,NEWS EDITOR;BOB RUFF,NEWS ASSIGNMENT EDITOR; JANICE GRETEMMEYER,PUBLIC AFFAIRS,DIRECTOR; STEPHEN BATTAGLIO,MEDIA RELATIONS MANAGER; PETE BARRETT,AFFILIATE VICE PRESIDENT; CAROLYN SMITH POLITICAL DIRECTOR;JEFF GREENFIELD,POLITICAL CORRESPONDENT; EILZABETH NISSEN CORRESPONDENT;ARMEN, KETEYIAN,CORRESPONDENT.77 W.66TH STREET NEW YORK,N.Y.10023-6201; ABC NEWS PROGRAM, 20/20;BARBARA WALTERS,HOST;HUGH DOWNS,HOST; VICTOR NEUFELD,EXECUTOR PRODUCER;MEREDITH, WHITE,SENIOR,PRODUCER;DAVID TABACOFF,SENIOR, PRODUCER;MARTIN CLANCY,PRODUCER;BOB BROWN, CORRESPONDENT;CATHERINE CRIER,CORRESPONDENT; TOM JARRIEL,CORRESPONDENT;LYNN SHERR, CORRESPONDENT-147 COLUMBUS AVENUE-NEW YORK, N.Y.10023-ABC NEWS PROGRAM DAY ONE;FORREST, SAWYER,HOST;TOM YELLIN,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER; JANE AMSTERDAM,EXECUTIVE EDITOR;STU SCHWARTZ, SENIOR PRODUCER;WALT BOGDANICH,PRODUCER;JOHN, HOCKENBERRY,CORRESPONDENT;SHEILA MacVICAR, CORRESPONDENT;JAY SCHADLER,CORRESPONDENT-147 COLUMBUS AVENUE,NEW YORK,N.Y.10023; ABC NEWS PROGRAM,NIGHTLINE;TED KOPPEL,HOST; TOM BETTAG,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;MARK NELSON, SENIOR PRODUCER;C.SCOTT WILLIS,SENIOR, PRODUCER;RICHARD HARRIS,PRODUCER;LISA, KOENIG,PRODUCER;KATHRYN KROSS,PRODUCER; DEANNA LEE,PRODUCER;CHRIS BURY,CORRESPONDENT; JEFF GREENFIELD,CORRESPONDENT;DAVIE MARASH, CORRESPONDENT-1717 DeSALES STREET NW. WASHINGTON,D.C. 20036;ABC NEWS PROGRAM, PRIMETIME LIVE;DIANE SAWYER,HOST;SAM, DONALDSON,HOST;RICK KAPLAN,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;ROBERT WALLACE,PRODUCER;CHRIS, WALLAVE,CORRESPONDENT;SYLVIA CHASE, CORRESPONDENT;NANCY COLLINE,CORRESPONDENT; JOHN QUINONES,CORRESPONDENT;JUDD ROSE, CORRESPONDENT-147 COLUMBUS AVENUE 3RD FLOOR, NEW YORK,N.Y.10023;
CBS TELEVISION NETWORK;HOWARD STRINGER, PRESIDENT;JOE PEYRONNIN,VICE PRESIDENT;BILL, FELLING BUREAU CHIEF;DON DeCESARE,OPERATIONS, VICE PRESIDENT;JEFF SAGANSKY,PROGRAMMING, PRESIDENT;ERIC OBER,NEWS PRESIDENT;LANE, VENARDOS,NEWS VICE PRESIDENT;NEAL PILSON, PRESIDENT;LINDA MASSON,PUBLIC AFFAIRS, PRESIDENT;JOHNATHAN RODGERS,AFFILIATE, RELATIONS PRESIDENT;RAY BRADY,BUSINESS NEWS EDITOR;MARY BETH DURKIN,BUSINESS NEWS, PRODUCER;DORTHEA LYNN,POLITICAL EDITOR; MARTIN PILSSNER,POLITICAL REPORTER;ROBERT, KRULWICH,CORRESPONDENT-524 W.57TH STREET, NEW YORK,N.Y.10019-2902;CBS NEWS PROGRAM, 48 HOUSE;DAN RATHER,HOST;CATHERINE LASIEWICZ, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;AL BRIGANTI,SENIOR, PRODUCER;LYNE BOWENS,SENIOR PRODUCER;SEEVE, GLAUBER,SENIOR PRODUCER;TOM FLYNN,PRODUCER, 524 W.57TH STREET,NEW YORK,N.Y.10019-2902; CBS NEWS PROGRAM,60 MINUTES;MIKE WALLACE, HOST;ED BRADLEY,HOST;STEVE KROTT,HOST,LESLEY, STAHL,HOST,MORLEY SAFER,HOST;DONHEWITT, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;ROME HARTMAN,PRODUCER; PHIL SCHEFFLER,SENIOR PRODUCER-524 w.57TH STREET,NEW YORK,N.Y.10019-2902-CBS NEWS PROGRAM FACE THE NATION;BOB SCHIFFER,ANCHOR; MISSIE RENNIE,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;CARIN PRATT, PRODUCER;DENIES LI,ASSOCIATE PRODUCER-2020 M. STREET NW.WASHINGTON,D.C.20036-CBS NEWS, PROGRAM,THE CRUSADERS;ITS HOSTS;PRESIDENT; VICE PRESIDENTS;EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS;SENIOR,

PRODUCERS;AND CORRESPONDENTS,NAMES AT THIS TIME,UNKNOWN-P.O.BOX 6363 BURBANK,CALIFORNIA 91510-6363:-CBS(FORMER NEWS PROGRAM),EYE TO EYE;CONNIE CHUNG,HOST;ANDRED HEYWARD, EXECUTIVE,PRODUCER;VICKI GORDON,SENIOR, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;SUSAN ZIRINSKY,SENIOR, PRODUCER;DAVID FIZPATRICK,PRODUCER;EDIE, MAGNUS,CORRESPONDENT;BERNARD GOLDBERG, CORRESPONDENT-524 W.57TH.STREET,NEW YORK, N.Y.10019;CBS NEWS PROGRAM,THIS MORNING; HARRY SMITH,HOST;PAULA ZAHN,HOST;TED SAVAGLIO, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;BILL OWENS,NEWS PRODUCER; RANDY JOYCE,GUEST CONTACT;NANCY HENNESSEE, BUSINESS PRODUCER;524 W.57TH.STREET-NEW YORK, N.Y.10019;CBS EVENING NEWS TV.PROGRAM;DAN RATHER,ANCHOR;(CONNIE CHUNG,FORMER,CO-ANCHOR); ERIK SORENSON,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;AL BERMAN, SENIOR,PRODUCER;JANET LEISSNER,SENIOR PRODUCER; KATHY MOORE,SENIOR PRODUCER;JARRY CIPIANO,NEWS, PRODUCER;MARY BETH DURKIN,BUSINESS PRODUCER; CYNTHIA PULIS,GUEST CONTACT-524 W.57TH.STREET, NEW YORK,N.Y.10019;CBS EVENING NEWS TV.PROGRAM, BOB SCHIFFER,ANCHOR;LINDA MASSON,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;CBS EVENING NEWS TV PROGRAM,WITH BILL, PLANTE,ANCHOR;MISSIE RENNIE,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER; BOB EPSTEIN,PRODUCER;CBS NEWS PROGRAM,SUNDAY, MORNING;CHARLES KURALT,HOST;MISSIE RENNIE, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;MARQUITA POOL,SENIOR, PRODUCER;BUD LAMOREAUX,PRODUCER;JOHN LEONARD, COMMENTATOR;BETSY AARON,REPORTER;WILLIAM GEIST, REPORTER; 1697 BROADWAY,NEW YORK,N.Y.10019;

ABC TV.NEWS PROGRAM,TURNING POINT;PHYLLIS, McGRADY,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;BETSY WEST,PRODUCER, 77 W.66TH. STREET,NEW YORK,N.Y.10023;ABC NEWS TV PROGRAM,THIS WEEK WITH DAVID BRINKLEY,HOST; SAM DONALDSON,COMMENTATOR;DAVID GLODT,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;JACK SMITH,CORRESPONDENT;VERONIQUE, RODMAN,GUEST CONTACT;ABC TV.NEWS PROGRAM;PETER, JENNINGS,ANCHOR;DAVID GELBER,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER; ARNOT WALKER,GUEST CONTACT;ABC TV NEWS PROGRAM, GOOD MORNING AMERICA SUNDAY;DANA KING,HOST; BILL RITTER,HOST;JACK REILLY,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER; MELISSA MORGANWECK,PRODUCER;ROBERTA DOUGHERTY, ASSOCIATE PRODUCER;RANDY BARONE,ASSOCIATE, PRODUCER;RITA BARRY,NEWS PRODUCER;ABC TV PROGRAM, GOOD MORNING AMERICA,CHARLES GIBSON,HOST;JOAN, LUNDEN,HOST;JACK REILLY,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;

MELISSA MORGANWECK,PRODUCER;ROBERTA DOUGHERTY, ASSOCIATE PRODUCER;RANDY BARONE,ASSOCIATE, PRODUCER;RITA BARRY,NEWS PRODUCER;CHRIS, HANSEN,BUSINESS PRODUCER;ABC NEWS PROGRAM, WORLD NEWS NOW;THAIA ASSURAS,ANCHOR;BOYD, MATTSON,ANCHOR;CHRIS ANTONIACCI,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;SHELLEY LEWIS,PRODUCER;ELYSE,WEINER, PRODUCER;ABC TV NEWS PROGRAM,PRIMETIME LIVE; DIANE SAWYER,HOST;SAM DONALDSON,HOST;RICK, KAPLAN,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;ROBERT WALLACE, PRODUCER;CHRIS WALLACE,CORRESPONDENT;SYLVIA, CHASE,CORRESPONDENT;NANCY COLLINS,CORRESPONDENT; JOHN QUINONES,CORRESPONDENT;JUDD ROSE, CORRESPONDENT;ABC TV NEWS PROGRAM(BARBARA, WALTERS' SPECIALS;BARBARA WALTERS,HOST;BILL, GEDDIE,PRODUCER;BRAD LaROSA,ASSOCIATE,PRODUCER; JESSICA STEDMAN-GUFF,ASSOCIATE PRODUCER-33 W. 60TH STREET,NEW YORK,N.Y.10023;ABC TV NEWS, PROGRAM(WOULD NEWS TONIGHT)PETER JENNINGS, ANCHOR;EMILY ROONEY,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;STU, STRICHMAN,SENIOR PRODUCER;KATHRYN CHRISTENSEN, PRODUCER;STEPHEN AUG,BUSINESS CORRESPONDENT; BOB JAMIESON,CORRESPONDENT-47 WEST 66TH.STREET, NEW YORK,N.Y.10023;+

CBS NEWS TV PROGRAM(UP TO THE MINUTE);TROY, ANCHOR;TOM BRADFORD,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;

NBC TV NEWS PROGRAM(DATELINE);JANE PAULEY,HOST, STONE PHILLIPE,HOST;NEIL SHSPIRO,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;MARC ROSENWASSER,SENIOR PRODUCER; SUSAN FARKAS,SENIOR PRODUCER;JOE ALLCASTRO, ASSOCIATE PRODUCER;DEBORAH ROBERTSM, CORRESPONDENT;BRIAN ROSS,CORRESPONDENT;JON, SCOTT,CORRESPONDENT;FAITH DANIELS CORRESPONDENT; 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA,NEW YORK,N.Y.10112; NBC TELEVISION NETWORK;PIERSON MAPES,PRESIDENT; LEE CURRLIN,VICE PRESIDENT;JEFF GASPIN,VICE, PRESIDENT,PROGRAMMING;ANDREW LACK,NEWS PRESIDENT; CHERYL GOULD,NEWS VICE PRESIDENT;DAVID VERDI, NEWS DIRECTOR;KAROLYN LORD,NEWS ASSISTANT, MANAGER;CLARE DUFFY,NEWS ASSISTANT MANAGER; SUSAN HASPEL,PUBLIC AFFAIRS,DIRECTOR;CURT BLOCK, MEDIA RELATIONS VICE PRESIDENT;MIKE JENSEN, BUSINESS NEWS EDITOR;CAROL KAHN,BUSINESS NEWS, PRODUCER.

KTVI TELEVISION CHANNEL 2-5915 BERTHOLD AVENUE,ST.LOUIS MISSOURI 63110;KENNETH, H.MacQUEEN,GENERAL AND STATION MANAGER; WILLIAM BERRA,NEWS DIRECTOR;KEVIN LYNCH, NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR;JOE LAMIE,NEWS, ASSISTANT EDITOR;LINDA HILL,PUBLIC, SERVICE DIRECTOR;VICKI BABU,BUSINESS, EDITOR;MANDY MURPHY,ANCHOR;DONN MARAH, ANCHOR;LAURA CLARK,PRODUCER;JOHN ABUBLE, ANCHOR;KATHRYN KIETER,ANCHOR;HAROLD,GEIGER, PRODUCER;AMY AUSTIN,PRODUCER;DENNIS,RIGGS, ANCHOR;STEVE SCHRAEGER,PRODUCER;DICK FORD, ANCHOR;ALETA HARRIS,PRODUCER;TOM O'NEAL, REPORTER;VICKORIA BABU,REPORTER;BETSOY, BRUCE,REPORTER;MARION BROOKS,REPORTER; ROCHE MADDEN,REPORTER;

KMOV TELEVISION CHANNEL 4,ONE MEMORIAL, DRIVE,ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI;ALLAN COHEN,GENERAL, AND STATION MANAGER;JIM ROTHSHILD,OPERATIONS AND PROGRAM DIRECTOR;STEVE HAMMEL,NEWS, DIRECTOR;PETE BARRETT,NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR; BOB CYPHERS,NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR;ELLEN, HARRIS NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR;MARY CANNON, PUBLIC SERVICE DIRECTOR;JUTUIS HUNTER, ANCHOR;LARRY CANNER,ANCHOR;MARY PLELAN, ANCHOR;DAN DILLON,REPORTER;**JAMMIE ALLMAN,** REPORTER;

KSDK TELEVISION CHANNEL 5-1000 MARKET STREET, ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI 63101;JOHN C.KUENEKE, GENERAL MANAGER;MIKE SHIPLEY,OPERATIONS, MANAGER;CLIFF ABRORNATS,NEWS DIRECTOR; JOHN O'CONNOR,NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR;LISA, BEDIAN,PUBLIC SERVICE DIRECTOR;BRUCE, BARNHARDT,BUSINESS NEWS,REPORTER;DANITA, BLACKWOOD,PRODUCER;ERICK EDLUND,ANCHOR;ERIC, EIFRIG,PRODUCER;JENNIFER BLOME,ANCHOR;RICK, ADLUND,ANCHOR;KAREN FOSS,ANCHOR;JEFF FOWLER, REPORTER;LEISA ZIGMAN,REPORTER;KAY QUENN, REPORTER;DAN GRAY,ANCHOR;DEANNE LANE,REPORTER; SARAH HARLOW,REPORTER;JEAN JACKSON,REPORTER; ART HOLLIDAY,ANCHOR;

THE NEW YORK TIMES NEWS PAPERS;ARTHUR, SULZBERGER,PUBLISHER;MAX FRANKEL,EXECUTIVE, EDITOR;JOSEPH LELYVELD,MANAGING EDITOR; CAROLYN LEE,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;DAVE, JONES,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;

SOMA GOLDEN,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; GERALD BOYD,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; WARREN HOGE,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; AL SIEGAL,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; HOWWELL RAINES,EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR; SUSANNA RODELL,ASSISTANT EDITORIAL PAGE, EDITOR;PHILIP BOFFEY,DEPUTY EDITORIAL, PAGE EDITOR;MITCHELL LEVITAS,OPERATIONS PAGE EDITOR;BOB HERBERT,OPERATIONS PAGE, COLUMNIST;LINDA MATHEWS,NATIONAL NEWS, EDITOR;

WASHINGTON POST NEWS PAPERS,OWNERS-1150 15TH STREET NW.WASHINGTON,D.C.20071; DOANLD GRAHAM,PUBLISHER;LEONARD DOWNIE, JR.,EXECUTIVE EDITOR;ROBERT G.KAISER, MANAGING EDITOR;THOMAS WILKINSON, ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;MAG GREENFIELD, PAGE EDITOR;STEPHEN ROSENFIELD.DEPUTY, EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR;KENNETH IKENBERRY, EDITORIAL PAGE ASSISTANT EDITOR;RICHARD, CROCKER,NEWS ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; WENDY ROSS,NEWS EDITOR;ROBERT WILLIAMS, NEWS ASSISTANT EDITOR;FRED BARBASH, NATIONAL NEWS EDITOR;KAREN DeYOUNG, NATIONAL NEWS ASSISTANT MANAGING,EDITOR; BOB BARNES,DEPUTY NATIONAL NEWS EDITOR; BRADLEY GRAHAM,NATIONAL DEPUTY NEWS, EDITOR;MARALEE SCHWARTZ,NATIONAL DEPUTY, NEWS EDITOR;

ST.LOUIS POST DISPATCH NEWS PAPERS,OWNERS, 900 N.TUCKER BLVD.ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI,63101; NICHOLAS PENNIMAN,PUBLISHER;LASZLO DOMJAN, EXECUTIVE EDITOR;FOSTER S.DAVIS,MANAGING, EDITOR;RANOLD WILLNOW,DEPUTY MANAGING, EDITOR;WILLIAM F.WOO,EDITOR;ED HIGGINS, EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR;DONNA KORANDO, OPERATIONS PAGE EDITOR;TIM BROSS,CITY, EDITOR;MARK SCHLINKMANN,LOCAL GOVERNMENT, REPORTER;BOB POSEN,NATIONAL NEWS EDITOR; LISA ADAMS,SPECIAL SECTIONS EDITOR;ROGER, RUWE,VICE PRESIDENT;

KPLR TELEVISION CHANNEL 11-4935 LINDELL, BLVD.,ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI 63108,OWNERS;

JEFF BERNTHAL,ANCHOR,AND/OR;KELLI EGGENS,
ANCHOR,AND/OR;CHIRTENE BUCK,REPORTER;HAL,
PROTTER,GENERAL MANAGER;DANIEL J.NEUMANN,
STATION MANAGER;JIM WRIGHT,OPERATIONS,
MANAGER;HOWARD STEVENS,PROGRAM DIRECTOR;
BILL REES,NEWS DIRECTOR;JULIA BISHOP,NEWS,
ASSIGNMENT EDITOR;BERADINE DOUGLAS,PUBLIC,
SERVICE DIRECTOR;SID MOORE,EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;

HARD COPY/PARAMOUNT PICTURES,CORPORATION,
AND TELEVISION NETWORK,OWNERS;BARRY NOLAN,
HOST;TARRY MURPHY,HOST;DIANE DIMOND,
CORRESPONDENT;STEVE BRONSTEN,CORRESPONDENT;
CARLA PENNINGTON,CORRESPONDENT;DAUG,
BRUCKNER;CORRESPONDENT AND/OR;FERNANDO,
MARTINEZ,OFFICER;LINDA BELL,PRODUCER;LINDA,
ELLMAN,PRODUCER-5555 MELROSE AVENUE,MAE W.
BLDG. # 120,LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA,90038;

NATIONAL ENQUIRER/AN ENQUIRER STAR GROUP,
PUBLICATION;OWNERS,MICK WALKER,OWNER;LAIN,
CALDER,PRESIDENT;BARBARA HANNAH GRUFFERMAN,
PUBLISHER;SAM SCHOENLY,EDIROR/MANAGER;MARY,
MAHONEY,VICE PRESIDENT; 600 S.EAST COAST,
AVENUE,LANTANA,FL.33462;

STAR AN ENQUIRER/STAR GROUP PUBLICATION,OWNERS;
ROGER WOOD,DIRECTOR;RICHARD KAPLAN,EDITOR;
BARBARA HANNAH GRUFFERMAN,PUBLISHER/VICE,
PRESIDENT;SAM SCHOENLY,MANAGER;MARY MAHONEY,
VICE PRESIDENT;233 PARK AVENUE,S.,6TH FLOOR,
NEW YORK.N.Y.10003;

GLOBE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION/PUBLICATION,
OWNERS;MICHAEL ROSENBLOOM,PUBLISHER/PRESIDENT;
PHIL BUNTON,EDITORIAL DIRECTOR;LEVINE BARATTO,
ASSOCIATE;5401 NW.BROKEN S.BLVD.,BOCA RATON,
FLORIDA,33487;

BBC ENTERPRISES LIMITED/BBC LIONHEART TELEVISION,
OWNERS;SARAH FRANK,PRESIDENT AND CEO;MAG JAWED,
VICE PRESIDENT/CFO;SYVIA DELIA,VICE,PRESIDENT;
CANDACE CARLISLE,VICE PRESIDENT;JULIUS CAIN,
DIRECTORBETH CLEARIELD,DIRECTOR;JONATHAN,
MARDER,VICE PRESIDENT;STEVE BRECKER,VICE,
PRESIDENT;PAMELA JONES,DIRector & LEGAL
AFFAIRS;MITCH TUCKER,DIRECTOR-630 FIFTH AVENUE,
#2220,NEW YORK,N.Y.10111;

THE NEW YORK DALY NEWS PAPERS,COMPANY,
CORPORATION,OWNERS;MORTIMER ZUCKERMAN,
PUBLISHER;MARTIN DUNN,EDIROR-IN-CHIEF;
JAMES C.LYNCH,EXECUTIVE EDITOR;DEBBY ANN,
KRENEK,MANAGING EDITOR;ELIZBETH POCHODA,
DEPUTY EDITOR;LOU COLASUONNO,EDITOR;ARTHUR,
BROWNE,EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR;BOB LAIRD,
OPERATION PAGE EDITOR;MARK PRENDERGAST,
NATIONAL NEWS EDITOR;JAMES HAIRSTON,
BUSINESS EDITOR;ROSEMARY LAVAN,CONSUMER,
INTEREST REPORTER;PHIL ROURA,EDITOR;
220 E.42ND STREET,NEW YORK,N.Y.10017;

NEWAWEEK MAGAZINE COMPANY/CORPORATION,
OWNERS AND/OR MEMBERS OF BOARD OF
DIRECTORS;HAROLD SHAIN,PUBLISHER;GRRGORY,
OSHAIN,ASSOCIATE PUBLISHER;RICHARD M.
SMITH,EDITOR IN CHIEF;KENNETH AUCHINCLOSS,
MANAGING EDITOR;ALEXIS GELBER,ASSISTANT,
MANAGING EDITOR;MAYNARD PARKER,EDITOR;
NED ZEMAN,ASSOCIATE EDITOR;PAUL KEATING
NEWS ASSOCIATE EDITOR;DOROTHY WICKENDEN,
NATIONAL NEWS SENIOR EDITOR;PETER PRECOTT,
REVIEWER-444MADISON AVENUE,NEW YORK,N.Y.
10022;

NEWSWEEK,MAGAZINE,IN LOS ANGELES,CALIFORNIA,
BUREAU CHIEF,STRYKER McGULRE-11835 WEST,
OLYMPIC, # 870,LOS ANGELES,CA.90064;

NEWSWEEK MAGAZINE IN WASHINGTON,D.C.,BUREAU,
CHIEF,EVAN THOMAS-1750 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE,
WASHINGTON,D,C.20006;

TIME NEWS MAGAZINE,OWNERS,AND/OR MEMBERS OF
BOARD OF DIRECTORS-TIME-LIFE BUILDING.,
ROCKEFELLER CENTER,NEW YORK,N.Y.10020;
ELIZABETH VALK,PUBLISHER;JASON McMANUS,
EDITOR IN CHIEF;JIM GAINES,MANAGING EDITOR;
JOHN STACKS,DEPUTY MANAGING EDITOR;RICHARD,
DUNCAN,EXECUTIVE EDITOR;CHRIS PORTERFIELD,
SENIOR EDITOR;JAMES KELLY,NATIONAL NEWS,
ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;GEORGE CHURCH,
NATIONAL NEWS WRITER;SAM GWYNNE,BUSINESS,
EDITOR;

TIME MAGAZINE IN LOS ANGELES,CALIFORNIA, OWNERS AND/OR MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS; BUREAU CHIEF,DAVID JACKSON,10880 WILSHIRE, BLVD., # 1700-LOS ANGELES,CA.90024;

TIME MAGAZINE IN WASHINGTON,D.C.,OWNERS, BUREAU CHIEF,DAN GOODGAME,1050 CONNECTICUT, AVENUE-WASHINGTON,D.C.20036;

U.S.NEWS AND WORLD REPORT,OWNERS AND/OR MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS;RICHARD, THOMASON,PUBLISHER;MORTIMER ZUCKERMAN, EDITOR IN CHIEF;DONALD BAER,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;BRIAN DUFFY,ASSISTANT, EDITOR;LEWIS LORD,ASSISTANT MANAGING,EDITOR; GLORIA BORGER,ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR; PETER BERNSTEIN,EXECUTIVE EDITOR;MICHAEL, RUBY,EDITOR;MERRILL McLOUGHIN,EDITOR; ROBERT GROVER,NEWS EDITOR;PETER MEREDITH, NEWS DEPUTY EDITOR;EDWIN ALBAUGH,NEWS, SENIOR EDITOR;EILZABETH BROOKE,NEWS, SENIOR EDITOR;HARRISON RAINIE,NATIONAL NEWS ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR;2400 N. STREET NW.WASHINGTON,D.C.20027;

U.S.NEWS & WORLD REPORT IN NEW YORK;BUREAU CHIEF SCOTT MINERBROOK-1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAN # 600,NEW YORK,N.Y.10014;

U.S.NEWS AND WORLD REPORT IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS; PAUL GLASTRIS,BUREAU CHIEF, 435 N.MICHIGAN AVENUE # 1600,CHICAGO,IL. 60611;

FOX TWENTIETH SYNDCATION TELEVISION,PROGRAM A CURRENT AFFAIRS,OWNERS AND/OR MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS;MAUREEN O'BOYLE,FORMER, HOST;JOHN JOHNSON ,FORMER HOST;IAN RAE, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;TARRY WHITCRAFT,SENIOR, PRODUCER;DICK McWILLIAMS,SENIOR PRODUCER; NANCY KRAMER,PRODUCER;BARRY LEVINE,NEWS, EDITOR;STUART MARQUES,NEW PRODUCER;DAN, MEENAN,NEWS EDITOR;BILL RIDLEY,QUEST,CONTACT; JIM RYAN,FORMER,HOST; 205 EAST 67TH STREET, NEW YORK,N.Y.10021;

KING WORLD PRODUCTIONS TELEVISION PROGRAM, AMERICAN JOURNAL,OWNERS,MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS;NANCY CLASS,HOST;JOHN TOMLIN, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;BOB YOUNG,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;CHARLES LACHMAN,PRODUCER; 1700, BROADWAY,NEW YORK,N.Y.10019;

KING WORLD PRODUCTIONS: INSIDE ADDITION; BILL O'REILLY,FORMER,HOST;BOB YOUNG, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;JOHN TOMLIN,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;CHARLES LACHMAN,PRODUCER; 1700 BROADWAY,NEW YORK,N.Y.10019;

U.S.A.TODAY NEWS PAPERS,COMPANY,AND/OR CORPORATION,OWNERS,AND/OR MEMBERS OF DIRECTORS; 99 W.HAWTHORNE,AVENUE, # 518, VAKKEY STREAM,N.Y.11580:STANLEY LEHRER, PUBLISHER;ROBERT S.ROTHENBERG,MANAGING, EDITOR;ADVERTISING MANAGER,JACK DICKMAN; THOMAS CURLEY,PRODUCER;TOM McNAMARA,, MANAGING EDITOR;DAVID COLTON,DEPUTY, MANAGING EDITOR;TARA CONNELL,DEPUTY, MANAGING EDITOR;SUSAN GOLDBERG,DEPUTY, MANAGING EDITOR;PETER PRICHARD,EDITOR; KAREN JURGENSEN,EDITORIAL PAGE EDITOR; GLEN NISHIMURA,OPERATIONS PAGE EDITOR; SHARON SHAHID,OPERATIONS PAGE REPORTER; MONTE LORELL,PAGE ONE,MANAGING EDITOR; LE ANN TAYLOR,NATIONAL NEWS EDITOR;BOOTS, RYLIEL,SPECIAL SECTIONS COORDINATOR;

WORLD PRESS REVIEW,OWNERS,MEMBERS OF BOARD, OF DIRECTORS; 200 MADISON AVENUE,NEW YORK, N.Y.10016: TERI SCHURE,PUBLISHER;GAIL, ROBINSON,MANAGING EDITOR;SUE MAIER,BUSINESS, EDITOR;

CNN CABLE NEWS TELEVISION NETWORK,OWNERS, MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS; P.O.BOX, 105366,ATLANTA GEORGIA,30348;TOM JOHNSON, PRESIDENT;ED TURNER,VICE PRESIDENT;CINDY, PATRICK,OPERATIONS VICE PRESIDENT;BOB, FURNAD,PROGRAMMING VICE PRESIDENT;JON, PETROVICH,NEWS EXECUTIVE VICE,PRESIDENT; EARL CASEY,NEWS AND ASSIGNMENT VICE, PRESIDENT;BARBARA FRANK,NEWS ASSIGNMENT, EDTITOR;DAVID SCHECTER,NEWS ASSIGNMENT, EDITOR;STEVE HAWORTH,PUBLIC RELATION,

LOU DOBBS,BUSINESS VICE PRESIDENT;TOM, HANNON,POLITICAL EXECUTIVE PRODUCER;

CNN CABLE NEWS NETWORK/BOTH SIDES WITH JESSE JACKSON,TELEVISION PROGRAM;LEE THORNTON,PRODUCER;RICHARD DAVIS,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;JESSE JACKSON,HOST;ALAN SPECK, GUEST CONTACT;ED TURNER,OFFICER;CINCY , PATRICK,OFFICER;STEVE HAWORTH,OFFICER; MILES O'BRIEN,OFFICER;SARCH YAGLIN, OFFICER;SHARRON BRUCKERT,OFFICER;JEFF, GARRARD,OFFICER;KATE KING,OFFICER; 111 WASHINGTON AVENUE,NW.WASHINGTON,D.C.20001;

TURNER BROADCASTING SYSTEM;CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AND PRESIDENT,R.E.(TED) TURNER;BURT, REINHARDT,EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT-ONE CNN CENTER,P.O.BOX 105366-ATLANTA,GA. 30348;

LIFE MAGAZINE,COMPANY/CORPORATION,OWNERS, MEMBERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS-TIME & LIFE BUILDING,ROCKEFELLER,CENTER,NEW YORK,N.Y. 10020;NORA McANIFF,PUBLISHER;JASON, McMANUS,EDITOR IN CHIEF;DANIEL OKERNT, MANAGING EDITOR;JAY LOVINGER,ASSISTANT, MANAGING EDITOR;SUSAN BOLOTIN,SENIOR, EDITOR;PETER BONVENTRE,SENIOR EDITOR; STEVE PETRANEK,SENIOR EDITOR;ANNE HOLLISTER, ASSOCIATE EDITOR;DORIS KINNEY,ASSOCIATE, EDITOR;ROBERT SULLIVAN,ASSOCIATE EDITOR; MELISSA ASSISTANT EDITOR;

THE MAURY POVICH SHOW/PARAMOUNT PICTURES; MAURY POVICH,HOST;DIANE RAPPOPORT,EXECUTIVE, PRODUCER;GANEL BLADOW,GUEST CONTACT; 5555 MELROSE AVENUE,MAE W.BUILDING #120,LOS, ANGELES CA.90038;

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EN BANC,EASTERN, DISTRICT OF MISSOURI;CHIEF JUDGE,EDWARD L. FILIPPINE;ASSOCIATE JUDGES,STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH;GEORGE F.GUNN,JR.;JEAN C.HAMITON; DONALD J.STOHR;CAROL E.JACKSON;CHARLES A. SHAW;**CATHERINE D.PARRY**;SENIOR,CLYDE S. CAHILL;FORMER CHIEF,JOHN F.NANGLE;CLERK, ROBERT D.ST.VRAIN;

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT OF THE UNITED STATES;CHIEF CIRCUIT JUDGE, RICHARD S.ARNOLD;THEODORE McMILLIAN; GEORGE G.FAGG;PASCO M.BOWMAN;ROGER L. WOLLMAN;FRANK MAGILL;C.ARLEN;JAMES B. LOKEN;DISTRICT JUDGES,JIMM L.HENDREN; RONALD E.LONGSTAFF;DIANA E.MURPHY;STEPHEN, N.LIMBAUGH;LYLE E.STROM;PATRICK S.CONMY; RICHARD H.BATTEY; 1114 MARKET STREET,ST. LOUIS,MISSOURI 63101;

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS EN BANC, FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT; JUDGES,CHIEF, RICHARD S.ARNOLD;THEODORE McMILLIAN;JOHN, R.GIBSON;GEORGE G.FAGG;PASCO M.BOWMAN; ROGER L.WOLLMAN;FRANK J.MAGILL;C.ARLEN, BEAM;JAMES B.LOKEN;DAVID R.HANSEN;MORRIS, S.ARNOLD;SENIOR CIRCUIT JUDGES,FLOYD R. GIBSON;DONALD P.LAY;GERALD W.HEANEY; MYRON H.BRIGHT;DONALD R.ROSS;J.SMITH, HENLEY;JUNE L.BOADWINE: AND CLERK OF COURT, MICHAEL E.GANS-1114 MARKET STREET, ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI 63101

EDWARD L.DOWD,JR.,UNITED STATES ATTORNEY; **JOSEPH B.MOORE**,ASSISTANT U.S.ATTORNEY; NANRY J.FREDERICKS;WESLEY D.WEDEMEYER, ASSISTANT,UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS-1114, MARKET STREET,ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI 63101;

JANET RENO,UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,GENERAL; DREW S.DAY III,UNITED STATES SOLICITOR, GENERAL;LOUIS FREEH,DIRECTOR,FEDERAL, BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION;EDUAEDO GONZALEZ, CHIEF,UNITED STATES MARSHAL-WASHINGTON,D.C. 20530 and 20535;

JAMES W.NELSON,HEAD AGENT,FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI; FLOYD A.KIMDROUGH,UNITED STATES,MARSHAL; PUAL A.RUTKOWSKI,CHIEF,U.S.MARSHAL;AND TEN, OTHER NAMED KNOWN U.S.MARSHALS,1114 MARKET, STREET,FEDERAL COURTHOUSE,BUILDING,ST.LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101.

AND OTHER PERSONS,NOT LISTED HEREIN, RESPONDENTS.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, in order to **Suppres Free Speech**,the Federal Justice System have conspired against Petitioner,members of his race,class,sex(Black Males) and closed the halls of Justice to him,Depriving Petitioner - **First** and all other Constitutional Rights to be Heard,Petition the Government for Redress,**Offer evidence**,access to court,the Right Not to be Discriminated against ,denied these Rights on the basis of his Race and Class,The Rights to Sue in open Court,show that United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit,United States District Courts,Eastern,Western Districts of Missouri, and District of Columbia En Banc,The Office of the United State Attorneys for these Districts,The United States Attorney General,Director,Federal Bureau of Investigation,The United States house and Senate Committees on the Judiciary,The Office of the President(Not the Presidents),did knowingly committed these crimes of: **Racketeering,Obstructing Justice, Forged Legal Document(S),Extortion of Monies from Petitioner, his Ex-Wife, Four Minor Children,Grand Larceny,Swindling, Complicity,Lying in Material Matters, Making and repeatedly using false,fictitious and Fraudulent Statement(S), Whiting(S), Entrie(S), Representation(S), Deliberate Misapplication(S) of Law and Facts, and Conspiring together to coverup and conceal these crimes**,by Denying Petitioner trial by jury, while maintaining and preserving **Revolving Door Policy for Members of Ku Klux Klan,White-separative,Gay,Lesbian and**

Bisexual ?

(2)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,The United States District Court En Banc for the Eastern District of Missouri,Exceeded the Scope of all Judicial Authority Under Article III of the Constitution,by issuing order to United States Marshals,to Arrest Petitioner on each occasion he comes into the Federal Courthouse Building,assigned a Marshal to follow him where ever he goes,including **"Men-Room"** until Petitioner leaves the Federal Courthouse Building,without informing Petitioner of the charge,or reason for these arrests,Probable Cause for such Deprivation of free access to Public Building,Free to go and come as other persons,without arrests, **Humiliations**,indifferance treatments,attempts to **discourage** Petitioner from trying to be heard ? And United States Attorney Edward L.Dowd,Jr.,James W.Nelson,Head Agent,Federal Bureau of Investogation,Louis Freeh,Dirictor Federal Bureau of Investigation,United States Attorney General, Janet Reno,has sanctioned these crimes,by ignored Complaint(S) on the matter submitted to them,depriving Petitioner of Equal Protection under the law ?

(3)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, United States District Court,Eastern District of Missouri En Banc,Sanctioned by the United states Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit,Office of the Unit-

ed States Attorney,for said District,Attorney General Janet Reno,Director Louis Freeh Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Federal agent,James W.Nelson,F.B.I.,St.Louis,Missouri, Exceeded the Scope of all authority under Article III of the Constitution,by issuing en banc Order,That Petitioner is forever **Barred** from filing,despite **P-A-Y-I-N-G** filing fee,in any Court of the United States,State or Federal Court, **Without First** bring his Complaint or Petition to a certain **Roon** in the Federal Courthouse, Building that judge can determine whom Petitioner may **Sue and If he may Pay filing fee to sue, or have access to federal Court(S) ?** Thereby,Depriving Petitioner of all Rights,Privileges and Immunities,Due Process and equal Protection under the law, declaring Petitioner a federal **Slave** with only rights of his masters,the federal court ?

(4)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, United States District Court En Banc,Judge, Catherine D.Parry,its Clerk,Deputies and Law Clerks,Exceeded the scope of all authorities Under Article III of the Constitution,28 USC § § 453,951, 955,42 USC § § 1985(3), and **1986**,by conspiring to do,and did summoned a reporter from the local Televsion Station Chennel 4, and other Channel 4 officials to state to their viewers,falsely manufactured **Lie(S)** against Petitioner,concerning pending lawsuits in district court,committing the crimes of: **Defamation of Character,Character Assassination,smear,slander,liberist,writing and printing,know-**

ing these wrords to be false and misleading,for the purpose of turning Public opinions against Petitioner,and his causes,attempting to discourage him from further seeking Justice in Federal Courts ?

(5)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,The United States District Court En Banc,Judge Catherine D.Parry,Eastern District of Missouri, conspired and agreed,and exceeded the scope of the Good Behavior Clause of Article III of the Constitution,abused its authority,discriminated against Petitioner by denying his Motion(S) pursuant to 28 USC § 455, to **"Recurs"** themselves from all pleadings pending in court,for gross conflict of interest,that each judge are individually named as Defendant/appellee in several Civil Rights Complaint(S) inter alia,Title 42 U.S.C. § **1986** Violations,and their Refusals to Disqualify themselves pursuant to **Laird vs.Tatum**, 409 US 824,34 L Ed 2d 50,93 S Ct 7 (1972); **United States vs. Will**, 449 US 200(1980), Deprived Petitioner of Due Process and Equal Protection and Treatment under Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States ?

(6)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United states Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,The United States District Court En Banc,Judge Catherine D.Parry,Discriminated Against Petitioner,abusing its powers under Article III of the Constitution

of the United states,by Routinely and Systemically **Denying** Petitioner,his Procedural Due Process Right to **Collect Costs and reasonable attorneys fees**,from Defendants/Appellees pursuant to Rule 4(5),Federal Rules of Civil Procedural,upon Motion(S) of Petitioner,Defendants that failed to Response to Requests to **Waiver Service of Summonses**,later Served with Summons and Copy of Complaints,by professional Process **Server(S)**, engaging in crimes of **Grand-Larceny,Extortion, Swindling** Petitioner of monies by Judicial Orders ?

(7)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,The United States District court En Banc; Judge Catherine D.Parry,Conspired and abused their powers under Article III of the Constitution,by ordering its clerk,**not to honor** Petitioner's Motion(S) pursuant to Rule 55(a)(b)(1), and Motion(S) to Court under Rule 55(a)(b)(2) Federal Rules of Civil Procedural,for entry of Judgments by Default,against Defendants/Appellees that were served by professional Process Servers with summonses,copies of Complaints failed to Appear and plead.Sanctioned by Appellate Court en banc,discriminated against Petitioner denying him equal treatment and protection under the law,enjoyed by all white, Rich,Famous and Powerful Litigants,Despite Petitioner **Paid all costs and fees ?**

(8)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, the United States Court of Appeals En Banc

for the Eighth Circuit,conspired and discriminated against Petitioner,abusing its powers,and exceeded its authority under Article III of the Constitution,Contrary to 28 USC § 453 by ordering its clerk **Not** to issue **Briefing Schedules** and ignored Petitioner's Motion to Court,to order Clerk to follow Federal Rules Appellate Procedural in Petitioner's **Paid** Appeals in the same manner its does paid appeals from white, rich,famous and powerful litigants.Denied Petitioner his right to **Brief his Appeal,and Appellees answering to their Criminal Conduct**,that Violated the Provisions of Title 42 USC § § 1981,1985(3) and **1986 ?**

(9)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, United States Court of Appeals en banc for the Eighth Circuit,Conspired with District Court en banc,and judge,Catherine D.Parry spokesman for the district court en banc,incompetent interpretation of Title 42 USC § **1986**, that Petitioner must request pursuant to Rule 15(a),Federal Rules Civil Procedural for judge's permission to join Defendants, disregarding Petitioner's Argument,that Congress intended § **1986** to be an Independent Remedy for joiner of Defendants Having Prior knowledge of wrongful acts has been committed, against person's Civil Rights in Title 42 USC § **1985(3)**, and having power to prevent,aid in preventing the same,which person by reasonable diligence could have prevented,refuses to act,may be joined as Defendants,any number of persons in the action,which includes Judges, barring them from deciding

issues for themselves,in complaints which they are named as Defendants pursuant to 42 USC § 1986 ?

(10)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit Local Rule 47(A) is Constitutional on its face, whereas,the sole purposes and uses by the court to deny poor Black people,that **Paid all costs and fees**,and others in forma pauperis,appellate review,the procedural right to brief their appeals,raise Constitutional errors made by district judges on appeals,with supporting authorities,also discriminatory on the basis of race,class and that Pro Se Appellants has no equal protection under the law,as white, rich,famous and powerful people on appeals(28 USC § 1654 ?).

(11)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United states Court of Appeals En Banc, Its Chief,judge Richard S.Arnold,did Conspired,discriminated against Petitioner on the basis of race,class,black male denied him due process and equal protection under the law, exceeded the scope of their authorities under Article III of the Constitution,and 28 USC § 453,committed the crimes of,ordered its clerk,Michael A.Gans,not to issue briefing schedules,and disregarded Petitioner's [**Timely Motion to judge,Arnold for Extension of times**, to filed **Five(5)** petitions and joint Appendices for Hearing by Court en Banc pursuant to Rule(35) Federal Rules Appellate Procedural, Since Petitioner **P-A-I-D** all costs and Fees ?

(12)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, Rule 12(b)(6) Fed.R.Civ.p.,is Constitutional on its face,as applied by federal district judges in Pro Se Black People Civil Right Complaints pursuant to the 1964 and 1991 Civil Rights Acts(42 USC § § 1983,1985, 1981,1982, and 1986),that acts as Attorney(S),Counsel(S), Representing White Defendants in these Complaints,routinely and Systemically Sua Sponte dismisses **Both Paid and in forma pauperis Complaints pursuant to Rule 12 (b)(6)**,Disregarding Precedent(S) of Supreme Court in **Conley vs.Gibson**, 355 US 41 (1957); **Haines vs.Kerner**, 404 US 519 (1972),**Reaffirmed** in **Estelle vs.Gamble**, 429 US 97 (1976),exceeded the Scope of Articles III § I and IV § 2 of the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment,the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments,in violates of 18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242,1001,1961,1962,1963, 2071,2073,2075, and 2076 ?

(13)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, United States Courts,the Eighth Circuit,and District,Eastern Missouri,United States attorney,Edward L. Dowd,Jr.,Eastern Missouri,Janet Reno,United States Attorney General,conspired agreed,conceal and coverup felony crimes knowingly committed by Assistant U.S.Attorney Joseph B.Moore,that conspired with alleged U.S.marshals,Lenneth M.Link, Frank J.Smms,that conspired with officials at St.Paul Title Insurance ...,**Gorged legal document,used the same to extort monies from sell of Petitioner and family's House,Without**

Notice or Hearing,Violated Fourth,Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments tc the Constitution of The United States,Deprived Petitioner and family of Due Process and Equal Protection of the law,should have been criminally prosecuted as are other citizens of the United states ? O'Shea vs.Littleton, 414 US 488,38 L Ed 2d 674,94 S Ct 669 (1974); Bivens vs.Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 US 388,91 S Ct 1999,29 L Ed 2d 619 (1971); Stump vs.Sparman, 435 US 349,55 L Ed 2d 331,98 S Ct 1099 (1978); Butz vs. Economou, 438 US 478,57 L Ed 2d 895,98 S Ct 2894 (1978); City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons, 461 US 95,75 L Ed 2d 675,103 S Ct 1660 (1983); Dennis vs.Sparks, 449 US 24,66 L Ed 2d 185,101 S Ct 183 (1980); United States vs.James Daniel Real Property, Slip op.,No.92-1180,Decided December 13, 1993; Powell vs.Alabama, 287 US 45,53 S Ct 55,77 L Ed 158 (1935).

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, the Deliberate Failure of the United States, Department Justice Officials,Federal Officials and employees,callous disregards in Pro Se Civil Rights Lawsuits,to follow the requirements of 28 Code of Federal Regulations, routinely as matter of course,provides Legal Representation for these Defendants that have knowing committed crimes against the Constitution and laws of the United states for the purpose of Depriving Petitioner,of his right to bring these crimes to the attention of the jury,renders the Statute Unconstitutional for lack of enforcement ?

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, Public Law 96-458,94 Stat.2035,S.1873(1980) [Judicial Councils Reform And Judicial Conduct and Disability Act 28 USC § § 332 and 372 is Constitutional on its face,despite its Discriminatory effects to conceal and coverup **Crimes** knowingly committed by both district and Circuit Courts Judges; That permits these judges to judge themselves,since both District and Appellate Judges make-up the Judicial Councils of the Circuits of the United states, that the highest appeal authorities is the Circuit Courts of the United states,which makes the statute Unconstitutional on its fact ?

B-That Public Law 94-458,94 Stat.2035,S.1873(1980), is Unconstitutional on its face,and violates the Criminal laws of the United states,and authorities of the Supreme Court,whereas the Act places Justices of the United States Supreme Court above the law,since they are not subjected to Discipline Actions under the act; That district court Judges are not going to convict themselves,nor commence steps/process leading to **Impeachment Hearings,trials,Removal, and Criminal Prosecutions**,Here,**Racketeering,Obstructing Justice ,Forgery of legal Documents,Grand-Larceny,Extortions,Complicity Deceptions,False Representations.**

(16)-W-H-E-T-H-E-R, 28 USC § § 2255,2241,2242,2243, is Unconstitutional,Discriminatory,Racists,that routinely

systematically denies Petitioner the right to be heard,offer evidence for consideration on his innocence,by court, since 1977 hereto denies **Evidentiary-Hearing**,under the grounds of this Court's **Precedent(S)** in **Sanders vs.United States**,373 US 1,10 L Ed 2d 148,83 S Ct 1068(1963), and the guidelines set in **Townsend vs.Sain**, 372 US 293 at 310,313,9 L Ed 2d 770,83 S Ct 745(1963),total lack of enforcement by United states Department of Justice,and the Judicial system,Used by these branches of Federal Government to coverup and conceal Documented **Felonies** Knowingly and intentionally committed in original trial by judge,prosecutor,court appointed, attorneys,Federal Agents,United States Magistrate, Clerk of Court,and other officers of Court,**Forged legal Documents,Suppressed all Petitioner's Evidence,and Testimonies of Witnesses,Prosecutor knowingly Lied under Oath in Court,in writing, Knowingly used false evidence and known perjury testimonies**,and despite documented in records and files of trial,federal judges,attorneys from June 8,1976 heretofore conspired to conceal and coverup **Felonies crimes by denying** Petitioner his Constitutional Right to be heard in a open court of law ?

(17)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R. Petitioner were denied Equal Protection and treatment under the Constitution and laws of the United States Congress,and/or the Judiciary Committees of House and Sen-

ate,Discriminated against on the basis of being both Black, Poor, and male; Because the Overwhelming Documented **Impeachment-Evidence** furnished Congress,requesting the Rights to be Heard,Evidence coverup and right to be heard denied by repeated omission(S),the same Rights as White,Randy Weaver, and members of the **Ku Klux Klan**,Rich Famous,and Powerful persons,Citizens of the United States of America ?

Catitol Square Review and Advisory Board vs.Pinette, Slop op. No.94-780 Decided June 29,1995; Hurley vs.Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston, Slip op.,No.95-749 Decided June 19,1995; Rosenberger vs.Rector and Visitors of University of Virginia, Slip op.,No.95-329 Decided June 29, 1995; United States vs.James Daniel Good Real Property, Slip op.,No.92-1180 Decided December 13,1993; Patterson vs.McLean Credit Union, 491 US 164,105 L Ed 2d 132,109 S Ct 2363(1989).

(18)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R,the Federal Justice System,the Judicial,Executive and Legislative Branches of the United States Government Discriminated against Petitioner on the basis of his race, class,and because he is a black,male,for the past twenty(20) consecutive years,repeatedly denied his requests,that these federal judges,District and circuit,produce **Independent Evidence to Support the ongoing Indictment(S)** containing on all four corners,**Falsely Manufactured Lie(S)**,designed for sole purpose depriving Petitioner of his Right to be heard, access to court,Free Speech,present supporting evidence be-

fore jury in open court,rather without trial or hearing turn public opinions against Petitioner,by means of **Defamation of character, slander,libelous smear attacks** absence a trace of independent evidence against Petitioner ?

(19)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, the United States Court of Appeals en banc for the Eighth Circuit,the Judicial Council of the Eighth Circuit,the office of the Untied States Attorney,for said district,Eastern Missouri,the United States Attorney General,Conspired and Discriminated against Petitioner on the basis of his race and class,by knowingly and intentionally **Sanctioning Felony Crimes** committed by District judge, Catherine D.Parry,on her on,without legal authority took over case legally signed to district judge,Donald J.Stohr whom summonses had been issued under judge,Stohr,issued order Dismissing Complaint **With-Prejudice**,in favor of all Defendants including Defendants in **Default**,without trial of hearing,despite Petitioner **P-A-I-D over two Thousand dollars for service of summonses and copy of Complaint(S) upon Defendants**, after the same Defendants failed to Response to Requests to Wavier service of summons,Rule 4(d), Thru. (G) and 4(5),Federal Rules Civil Procedural, Barred Petitioner from Civil Damage Suit against Parry,pursuant to this Court's **Precedent in Stump vs.Sparkman**, 435 US 349,55 L Ed 2d 331,98 S Ct 1099(1978) ?

O'Shea vs.Littleton, 414 Us 488,38 L Ed 2d 674,94 S Ct 669 (1974); City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons, 461 US 95,75 L Ed 2d 675, 103 S Ct 1660 (1983); Dennis vs.Sparks, 449 Us 24,66 L Ed 2d 185,101 S Ct 183 (1980); Pulliam,Magistrate for the County of Cutpeper,Virginia vs.Allen,466 US 522,80 L Ed 2d 565,104 S Ct 1970 (1984); Hutto vs.Davis, 454 US 370,70 L Ed 2d 556,102 S Ct 703 (1982); Griffin vs.Breckenridge,403 US 88,91 S Ct 1970,29 L Ed 2d 338 (1971); Jones vs.Alfred H.Mayer Co.,392 US 409,88 S Ct 2186,20 L Ed 2d 1189 (1968); Sullivan vs.Little Hunting Park,Lnc., 396 US 229,90 S Ct 400,24 L Ed 386 (1969); The Civil Rights Cases,109 US 20,3 S Ct 18,27 L Ed 835 (1883).

(20)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, These federal officials,are guilty of knowingly violating their Oath of office,rendering 28 USC § § 547(1), 526,535, 42 USC § § 1981,1982,1983,1985,1986 and 1988 Unconstitutional on there face,for total lack of enforcement by the Judicial,Executive Branches of the U.S.Government,and Congress' failure to exercise its enforcement powers through the Thirteenth Amendment,of the Constitution of the United states,against Federal judges,attorneys,Magistrates,clerks of court,law and assistant clerks.in Civil Rights Cases filed by Black pro se litigants.Upon complaint(S) and Overwhelming Evidence filed with court,United States Attorney Generals,,Federal Bureau of Investigation, ignored by indecision,inaction,and omissions,for enforcement

by the office of United States Attorney,United States Attorney General,the United States Department of Justice in whole ?

(21)

W-H-E-t-H-E-R, The two En banc Order(S) issued against Petitioner,by United States District Court,Eastern Missouri,one June 17,1988, and on April 10,1995, and one issued by Federal judge,Thomas Penfield Jackson,District of Columbia, affirmed by Eighth Circuit en banc,Judicial Council of Eighth,Court of Appeals en banc and Judicial Council of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia that forever **Denies Petitioner access to any court of the United States, State or Federal Without Prior permission** from judge,determination of if Petitioner may sue, and whom he may sue the persons,if at all he mat sue, regardless rather he's willing to **P-A-y** all costs and fees of if requesting leave to proceed in forma pauperis.Despite Corruptly issued in clear absence of all Jurisdiction,knowingly set forth falsely manufactured **Lie(S)** on all four concerns,Unsupported by independent evidence,are Unconstitutional on their face,exceeded all scopes of power under Article III of the Constitution,signed to deny Petitioner his right to be heard,Free Speech, access to court,to cover-up and conceal **Felony Crime(S)** committed by officer(S) of Federal Courts ,federal officials and employees,Petitioner a **Federal S-L-A-V-E of the Judicial System ?**

(22)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, Petitioner were grossly Discriminated against,Deprived of Due Process and Equal Treatment and enjoyment of equal Rights under the Constitution and laws of the United States,by United States Attorney General,Janet Reno, Federal Bureau of Investigation,Director,Louis,Freeh,Head F.B.I.,Agent,James W.Nelson,St.Louis,Missouri,United States Attorney,Edward L.Dowd,Jr.,Eastern Missouri,United States House and Senate Committees on the Judiciary,The United States House and Senate Committees on Ethics,the Judicial Concils for the Eighth Circuit and District of Columbia Circuit of the United States,ignored,disregarded **Overwhelming Evidence,showing Felony Crime(S)** committed by Federal Judge(S) district and circuit courts,furnished by Petitioner, requesting **Impeachment hearings and/or trials,removal from office,prosecuted and imprisonment as other citizens ?**

O'Shea vs.Littleton, 414 US 488,38 L Ed 2d 674,94 S Ct 669 (1974); City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons, 461 US 95,75 L Ed 2d 675(1983); Pulliam,Magistrate for the County of Culpeper, Virginia vs.Allen, 466 US 522,80 L Ed 2d 565,104 S Ct 1970 (1984); Dennis vs.Sparks, 449 US 24,66 L Ed 2d 185,101 S Ct 183(1980); Butz vs.Economou, 438 US 478,57 L Ed 2d 895,98 S Ct 2894(1978): Bivens vs.Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 US 388,91 S Ct 1999,29 L Ed 2d 619(1971)Board of Regents of State Colleges vs.Roth, 408 US 564,33 L Ed 2d 548,92 S Ct 2701(1972).

(23)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,The District of Columbia Circuit En Banc,The District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Missouri En Banc and the District of Columbia En Banc,Ongoing Pattern of felony Conduct,Racists Polices and Discriminatory Practices,that routinely and Systematically Denies Petitioner the Benefit **"P-R-E-C-E-D-E-N-T(S)"** of the United States Supreme Court,and their on Circuits,on the basis of race,class and poverty,sufficient Grounds for **Impeachment and Removal by** House and Senate of the United States,Violations of 28 USC § 453 Articles III § I and IV § 2 of the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment and the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments,the Bill of Rights of the Constitution of the United States,Criminally prosecuted by the Department of Justice,pursuant to 28 USC § § **547(1), 526, and 535**,for violations of 18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242,1001, 1961,1962,1963,2071,2073,2075 and 2076 ?

O'Shea vs.Littleton, 414 US 488,38 L Ed 2d 674,94 S Ct 669 (1974); City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons, 461 US 95,75 L Ed 2d 675,103 S Ct 1660(1983); Dennis vs.Sparks, 449 US 24,66 L Ed 2d 185,101 S Ct 183(1980); Pulliam,Magistrate for the County of Cupeper,Virginia vs.Allen, 466 US 522,80 L Ed 2d 565,104 S Ct 1970(1984); Butz vs.Econnomou, 438 US 478,57

L Ed 2d 895,98 S Ct 2894(1978); Hutto vs.Davis, 454 US 370, 70 L Ed 2d 556,102 S Ct 703(1982).

(24)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit En Banc,and the United States District Court, Eastern Missouri En Banc,Exceeded the Scope of All Jurisdiction under Article III of the Constitution,the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and fourteenth Amendments,Contrary to 28 USC § 453,Discriminated Against Petitioner on the Basis of his Race, Class and Because he attempted to exercise and enjoy Legal Right to Litigant without the aid of Attorney pursuant to 28 USC § 1654,Conspiratorial Agreement with Clerks of Courts,did **Coerced** the **media** in concealing and coverup the Truth,**Felony Crime(S)** committed by Federal Circuit and District Judge(S),United States Attorney(S),Federal Law-Enforcement Agents,and Janet Reno's Department of Justice,Not to prosecutor these crimes committed in Violation of the Constitution and Laws of the United States ?

(25)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit and District of Columbia en banc,The Judicial Councils for these Circuits,the United States District Courts,Eastern,Western Districts of Missouri,and District of Columbia,the United States Department of Justice,can Justify for the past **T-W-E-N-T-Y(20) Consecutive Years** Routinely

and Systematically as a matter of course, **Denying Petitioner an Evidentiary-Hearing** pursuant to 28 USC § § 2255,2241, 2242, and 2243,pursuant to **United States vs.Sanders**, 373 US 1,10 L Ed 2d 148,83 S Ct 1068(1963); **Townsend vs.Sain**, 372 US 293,9 L Ed 2d 770,83 S Ct 745(1963) ? And **W-H-E-T-H-E-R,** this Court,the Supreme Court of the United States its superior powers can force these Respondents to justify their Conduct in these Matters ?

(26)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, The United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit,and District of Columbia,The United States Judicial Councils for the Eighth Circuit,and District of Columbia Circuit,the United States District Courts En Banc for the Eastern District of Missouri,and District of Columbia,did Conspired,agreed,Discriminated against Petitioner,on the basis of his race,class and poverty,exceeded the scope of all authorities under Article III of the Constitution,in violation of 28 USC § 453,by the felon acts,attempting to Price Petitioner out of the Federal Justice System,thereby denying him,access to court,freedom of speech,the right to be heard, petition his Government for redress,the right to sue,be parties,the right not to be deprived of these rights,because the amount of justice Petitioner gets,what he can pay for in federal or state courts ?

(27)

W-H-E-T-H-E-R, Did the United States Court,Judges,District, and Circuit,for the Eastern District of Missouri,and Eighth, Circuit,conspired,discriminated against Petitioner,Exceeded the scope of all authority under Article III § I of the Constitution,and 28 USC § 453,committed felony Crimes,by routinely and systemically,as an ongoing pattern of Racists,policies and Discriminatory Practices,that Deprives Petitioner of his Right to the **"Preponderance-Of-Evidence,"** Doctrine in His Civil Rights Complaints pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § § 1981, 1985(3), and **1986**,for the past consecutive **Twenty(20) Years**, and counting ?

(28)

The Question,here is,Whether the Federal Justice System,Judiciary,Executive,and Legislative,Conspired agreed in an ongoing colluded Conspiratorial agreement,to **Shield judges and prosecutors**,from Civil and Criminal liability by **absolute immunity form Felony Crime(S) committed** against,citizens/Petitioner, members of his race and class,therefore,Unconstitutional on its face,**Fradley vs.Fisher, 13 Wall 335,20 L Ed 646(1872)** ?

ISSUE(29): WHETHER 28 USC § § 1915(a) and (c), and § 1654,should be declared Unconstitutional,because total lack of Enforcement by Judicial officers,used as means to Deprives of rights Guarantees by the Constitution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED:

A. Does Article III of the Constitution,and Judicial immunity excuse federal Judges,Circuit and District, while acting in their official and individual capacity, knowingly and intentionally engaging in felony crimes of: **Racketeering, Obstructing Justice,Forgery of legal document(S),Extortion of monies, Grand Larceny, Complicity, Lying in material matter(S), Deceptions of public trust, making and using false,fictitious and fraudulent statements, documents,entries,representations,,misapplications of law and facts, Mail,Wire and Interstate fraud,,and inter alia, conspiring for twenty(20) years to conceal and coverup, these crimes,**by engaging in an ongoing pattern/campaign against Petitioner,**Slanders,Defamation of character,smear, libelous statements to local TV Station concerning pending cases of Petitioner,uncorroborated attack the good name and reputation of Petitioner,among others.[28 USC § 1746]**

1. Table of Authorities Cited. P.20

2. Opinion Below 1

3. Jurisdiction 2

4. Constitution Provisions Involved 2

5. Statement Of Case 5

6. Reasons For Granting The Writ 37

Conclusion 40

Townsend vs.Sain,372 US 293, ,22,33

Fradley vs.Fisher,13 Wall 335, ,38

Harlow vs.Fitzgerald,457 US 800 ,37

Patterson vs.McLean credit union vs.491 US 164, ,27,36

Adkins vs.E.I.DuPont de Nemours,335 US 331, ,34

McQueen vs.Swenson(1),498 F 2d 207(8th Cir.1974), ,22,33

McQueen vs.Swenson(II), 560 F 2d 959(8th Cir.1977), ,22,33

Napue vs.Illinois,360 US 264, ,24,29

Capitol Square Review and Advisory Board vs.Pinette, Ship op.,No.94-780 Decided June,29,1995, ,23,25 ,26

Hurley vs.Irish-America Gay,Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston,Ship op.,No.94-749 Decided June,19,1995, ,23,25 ,26

Rosenberger vs.Rector and Visitors of University of Virginia,Ship op.,No.94-329 decided June 29,1995, ,23,25 ,26

Leatherman vs.Tarrant County Narcotics Intelligence, and Coordination Unit,113 S Ct 1160, ,25

United States Codes: Title 42 USC § § 1981,198,1985,[1986], 1988, Rules 12(b)(6),4,5,et seq.,55(a)(b)(1) and (2),37,38,58, 56,57,65,77(c),and 79, 28 USC § 453,455,1915(a) and (c),526, 547(1), 535,et seq.,951,955,544, 28 Code of Fed.Regulations, 50.15,.Public Law 96-458.94 Stat.2035,S.1873 (1980), Articles III § I,IV § 2 of the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment,28 USC § § 2255,2241,2242,and 2243,The all Writs Act 28 USC § 1651.

P.22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGE
Laird vs.Tatum,409 US 824	iv,12
United States vs.Will,449 US 200	iv,12,37
Conley vs.Gibson,355 US 41,	P.8,18,31
Haines vs.kerner,404 US 519,	P.8,18,31
Estelle vs.Gamble,429 US 97	P.8,18,31,36
O'Shea vs.Littleton,414 US 488,	P.14,12,25,37
Bivens vs.Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics,403 US 388,	,31
Stump vs.Parman,435 US 349,	P.13,10,31,37
Btuz vs.Economou,438 US 478,	,22,31,37
City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons,461 US 95,	P.14,31
Dennis vs.Sparks,449 US 24,	P.14,37
United States vs.James Daniel Real Property,Ship op., No.92-1180 Decided December 13,1993,	,13,19,25
Powell vs.Alabama,287 US 45,	,19
Pulliam,Magistrate,vs.Allen,466 US 522,	P.17 ,16 ,,31
Hutto vs.Davis,454 US 370,	,18 ,31
Griffin vs.Breckenridge,403 US 88,	,11,14,26
Jones vs.Alfred H.Mayer Co.392 US 409,	,8,26
Sullivan vs.Little Hunting Park,Inc.,396 US 229,	,17,26
The Righrs Rights Cases,109 US 20	P.14
Board of Regents of State Colleges vs.Roth,408 US 564,	P.14,P.16,14,31
Neitzke vs.Williams, 490 US 319	,31
United States vs.Sanders,373 US 1,	22 ,33

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

The Petitioner,Sylvester Jones Pro se pursuant to 28 USC § 1654,Prays that a Writ of certiorari issue to review the on-going pattern of felony crime(S),knowingly and intentionally committed by federal district and circuit judges,U.S.attorneys,clerks,law clerks and other officer(S) of the Court, spanning over twenty(20) years(to date),against Petitioner's and members of his race and class,Civil and Constitutional Rights,Privileges and Immunities,to coverup and conceal the felony crimes made in his federal criminal trial,June 1976, Contrary to 28 USC § **453 and Articles III § I and IV § 2 of** the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth,Amendment,The Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment.while under federal laws and authorities,such as judgements entered by Court of Appeals on September 6,and 19,1995.

OPINION BELOW

(1)-Petitioner **P-A-I-D** all costs and fees in the District Court,filing fee,services of complaints and summonses upon Respondents,docketing notice of Appeals,**Denied** right to brief case on appeal,raising Constitutional crimes,Petitioner also **Denied** right to file petition for hearing **En Banc**,see so-called orders attached as Appendices-A,B,and E,See District court nonjudicial orders attached as Appendices-C,D,and F.Issued in the clear absence of **[A-L-L]** Jurisdiction under Federal Rules,Laws and Procedurals.

JURISDICTION
(2)

The order of the Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit was filed on September 19,1995. The Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § § 1254(1),and 453,Articles III § 1 and IV § 2(1) of the Constitution, Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. Order filed August 8,1995

(3)

The Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution provides in part:

> "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion,or prohibiting the free execise thereof; or abridging the **Freedom of speech,** or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble,and **To Petition the Government for a redress of grievances.**"

The Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, provides:

> "The right of the people to be secure in their **persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath...**particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or **things to be seized.**"

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Provides:

> "No person shall be held to answer for a capital,or otherwise infamous crime,unless on a presentment of indictment of a Grand Jury,except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia,when in actual service in

> "time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself;nor **be deprived of life,liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.**"

The Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Provides: (1791)

> "In all criminal prosecution,the accused **shall enjoy the right** to a speedy and public trial, by an **impartial jury** of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed,which district shall have been previously ascertained by law,and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be **confronted with the witnesses against him,to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of counsel for his defence.**"

The Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution, Provides: (1791)

> "In Suits at common law,where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars,the **right** to trial by **jury** shall be preserved,and no fact tried by jury,shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States,than according to the rule of the common law."

The Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Provides: (1791)

> "Excessive bail shall not be required,nor excessive fines imposed, **nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.**"

The Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Provides: (1865)

"Section 1. Neither **slavery nor involuntary servitude,**except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duty convicted,shall **exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.**

Section 2. Congress shall **have power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation."**

The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution Provides: (1868) In Part:

"Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof,are **citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.** No State shall make or enforce any law which shall **abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; without due process of law;** nor **deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law.**

Section 2....Section 3,...Section 4,...Section 5. The Congress shall have power to enforce,by appropriate legislation,the provisions of this article."

Article I, (6)(7) of the Constitution of the United States,Provides:

"The Senate shall have the sole Power to try all **Impeachments.** When sitting for that Purpose,they shall be on Oath or...."

"(7) Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from **Office,and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office or H-O-N-O-R, T-R-U-S-T, or Profit under the United States: But the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment, and Punishment according to Law."**

STATEMENT OF FACTS
(4)

The case,is not about Petitioner,Sylvester Jones vs.ABC Television Network,et al.,Respondents ,nor about Sylvester vs.Sharp Electronics,Corp.,et al.,No.95-2769(8th.Cir 1995),also here on writ of certiorari,nor Sylvester Jones vs.Chris Weatherford,Chief Administration,John Cochran VA Medical Center,et al.,No.95-2796(8th Cir.1995),here on writ of certiorari,nor Sylvester Jones vs.Suburban Journal Newspapers of Greater St.Louis,et al.,No-95-2770,(8th Cir.1995),here on writ of certiorari,nor Sylvester Jones vs.American Civil Liberties Union,et al.,No-95-2561(8th Cir.1995),here on writ of certiorari,nor Sylvester Jones vs.Fernando J.Gaitan,U.S.District Judge,et al.,No.95-1789 (8th Cir.1995),pending in Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, Nor about,Sylvester Jones vs.Edward L.Filippine,Chief,District judge,No.4:94-CV-381; Sylvester Jones vs.Richard K. Executive Director,Grace Hill/Murphy Blair Resident Housing Corporation,et al.,No.94-CV-378; Sylvester Jones vs. Toshikazu Mitsuda,Chairman,Sharp Electronics,Corp.,et al. No.94-CV-377; Sylvester Jones vs.City of St.Louis,et al., No.94-CV-379; Sylvester Jones vs.Mike Hery,Director,Missouri Department of Social Services,Division of Child Supper And Enforcement,et al.,No.94-CV-384; Sylvester Jones vs.United States of America,et al.,No.94-CV-383; Sylvester

Jones vs.Janet Reno,United States Attorney General,et al., No.94-CV-376; Sylvester Jones vs.Jesse Brown,Secretary, United States Department of Veterans Affairs,et al.,No. 94-CV-382; Sylvester Jones vs.Judicial Council of the Eighth Circuit of the United States,4: 95-CV-380; Sylvester Jones vs.Joseph B.Moore,Assistant United States Attoney,et al.,4:94-CV-385; Sylvester Jones vs.Jo Ann Farrington,Chief Public Integrity Criminal Division,United States Department of Justice,et al., 4:94-CV-741; Sylvester Jones vs.United States of America,et al.,No.94-CV-382,these cases were pending in district court,unfiled,for over **One Year**,one of which from 1990,that were transferred from the Federal District Court of Columbia,in 1990,before, filed by clerk,Robert D.St.Vrain,after the appellate court ruled on Petitioner's Petition for writ of Mandamus to compel,clerk St.Vrain to file these cases,which were filed in 1994,and from that time heretofore,no judge has been assigned these cases(Civil Rights Complaints),with respect to judge,Carol E.Jackson,who filed order recousing hereself in response to Petitioner's Motion pursuant to 28 USC § 455.

2-What,this case is about,district judge,Catherine D. Parry,on her on,disregarding the fact,she is a named Defendant in each of the above listed cases,and despite, Petitioner filed motion in each case,individually,pursuant

28 USC § § **455 and 453**,for more details of this ongoing twenty(20) year of judicial officers' abuse of powers and authorities,United States Court Judges,District and circuit,en banc Eastern and Western districts of Missouri,district of Columbia,and prior to 1986,federal courts,district and circuits,States such as Kansas,Minnesota,Lowa,Indiana,Ohio,Michigan,Illinois,and Springflied Missouri.See Joint Appendix-1-A herewith.Ongoing felony conspiracies to conceal and coverup the felony crimes against Petitioner,wife and four children,federal court June 4,8,1976 which has continued unabated with impunity by these judicial officer(S) heretofore. Against the Constitution,Civil Rights of Petitioner and family,and laws of the United States,by inter alia,repeatedly denying him the right to be **Heard,Redress,Petition the Government,Freedom of Speech,Access to Court,the right to offer supporting evidence,the right not to be deprived of these rights,on the basis of his race (Black) and class (Poor and male)** which the United States Government in ongoing colluded conspiratorial agreement with law-enforcement agencies,Declared **[War Against]** without just cause to warrant discrimination against,solely on the basis of race and class.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

ISSUE(1): **The Federal Justice system,all three(3)** Branches of Government has conspired against Petitioner,members of his race,and class,resulting in twenty(20) years denying him equal protection under the law,discriminated against him,by routinely denying him,as herein his Constitutional

Right under the 1964 and 1991 Civil Rights Acts (42 USC § § 1981,1985(3), and 1988), to sue,be parties,to make and enforce contracts,give evidence,inherit,purchase,lease,sell,hold, and convey real and personal property,and **to full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of myself,and family,and property,as is enjoyed by white citizens** Jones **vs.**Alfred H.Mayer Co., 392 US 409 at 422,436,(1968), Petitioner has no civil or constitutional rights,by orders of federal judges,he has no access to any court of the United States,whether **P-A-I-D** all fees and costs as herein,or request to proceed in forma pauperis (28 USC § 1915(a) and (c). **State or Federal Court**,Petitioner has been reduced to a federal **"S-L-A-V-E,"** See further details in Joint Appendices-Consolidated **12 and 19**.See further reasons for these felony crimes committed by district and circuit judges,Joint Appendices-**1,2, 1A,4,5**,Consolidated **6,7, and 8**, See **9,10,11, Consolidated 13 and 14, See 15,16,17, and 18**,fully incorporated herein,despite not the totality of evidence,nevertheless,**Overwhelming Impeachment evidence** for removal of these judges.

ISSUE(2):Because of such a multitude of Respondents, Petitioner states to the Court,that each Respondent are joined as Conspiratorial,and active members of the conspiracies, by overt/act, felony crimes under Title 18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241, 242,1961,1962,1963(The Reco Act),2071,2073,2075,and 2976, Title 42 USC § § 1981,1985(3), **1986.ISSUE(2)**,in furtherance of the federal district court **En Banc**,Eastern Missouri,effort to further deny Petitioner access to court,on May 4,1995 iss-

ued **"Nonjudicial order,"** to the U.S.Marshals,to Arrest Petitioner on each occasion he comes into the Federal Courthouse building,**Without**,warrant,or Probable-Cause,or advising him of the nature of the charge,under what Statute he have violated by entering a Public building,in which to carry on his business the building were designed therefor.Absence all these things,on each occasion Petitioner walks into the courthouse he is held by U.S.Marshal,until a marshal is assigned to follow him until he leaves the building including **"Menroom,"** amounting to gross discrimination,and **Humiliation** on the basis of race and class,and attempt to encouraging Petitioner to stay away from the federal courts,or a price of **Humiliation** will be paid.Petitioner,filed complaints with U.S.Attorney, Edward L.Dowd,Jr.,ignored,Head FBI agent,James W.Nelson,who instead of taking affirmative action,refer the matter to U.S. attorney,Dowd,Complaints to U.S.Attorney General Janet Reno, and director FBI,Louis Freeh,ignored.Attempted to **Paid filing fee** and file Civil Rights complaint to stop the abuses of power,,on June 5,1995,Motion for leave to pay filing fee,heretofore no response from federal district judges.See Joint Appendix-18, see Complaint entitled **Sylvester Jones vs.Floyd A. Kimdrough,et al.**, still pending in district court,on leave to **Pay Filing fee.**

ISSUE(3): Petitioner ask the Court to hold Unconstitutional,under Articles III § I and IV § 2 of the Constitution Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment,the Due Process and equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments,

28 USC § 453,federal district court two(2) **En Banc Order(S)** against Petitioner,depriving him of **[A-L-L-]** Civil and Constitutional Rights,Privileges and Immunities,**Stripping him of his citizenship,as a citizen of the United States.** First En banc order issued June 17,1988 used by the judges for Eight(8),years,issued without docket/file number,in the clear absence of all jurisdiction over the subject matter,See **Stump vs.Sparkman**, 435 US 349(1978),knowing set forth Unsupported falsely manufactured **Lie(S) on all four corners**,See **Napue vs.Illinois**, 360 US 264 at 269,79 S Ct 1173 at 1177 (1959).The second én banc order issued April 10,1995 in the same manner,with respect to docket number,forever Barring Petitioner from **P-A-Y-I-N-G F-I-L-I-N-G F-E-E** to file any pleading in any court of the United States State or Federal. See two Eastern District of Missouri court En Banc Orders copies attached to Joint Appendices-**1, 12 and 19**,marked as Appendices-B and 12.Without first seeking permission from judge of that court,all of which to coverup and conceal the felony crime(S) committed by these same judges,attempting to bar lawsuit(S) naming them as defendants as herein,exceed cope of all powers under Article III of the Constitution.

ISSUE(4): Petitioner asks the Court to hold Unconstitutional on its face,pursuant to Articles III § I and IV § 2 of the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendments, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments,**The Bill of Rights**,Federal district judges, **Catherine D.Parry,sanctioned** by Court en banc,conspiring with

its clerk,Robert D.St.Vrain,deputy clerks,Chief "Tim," Cinthia Cross,and other diverse clerks,Summoned a reporter,**Jammie Allman** from local TV Channel 4 Station,conspired with this reporter briefed him and agreed too and did after conspiring with TV anchors,Jutuis Hunter and Larry Conner,and all other, president,owners of Channel 4 Television station to air over its 6:00 PM.,news known falsely manufactured **Lie(S)** knowing them to be the same.for **Quoted** details of these lies,designed to incite public opinion against Petitioner,by **Defamation of character,smear,Slander,character assassination** for the sole purpose of coverup and conceal the felony crimes knowingly committed by the same federal judges/respondents herein.See attached hereto as **Exhibits-CC and DD** complete statements of these Defendants.That these federal judges also told these owners,reporters,that they will refuse any attempt by Petitioner to sue them for Libel or any other violation of Petitioner's Civil or Constitutional Rights,or that they were liable under Title 42 USC § **1986**,and that their conduct also is criminal under federal laws.See for e.g.,**Board of Regents of State Colleges vs.Roth**, 408 US 564 at 573 92 S Ct 2701 at 2707(1972): **Griffin vs.Breckenridge**, 404 US 88 (1971).

ISSUE(5): Petitioner asks the Court,to hold Respondents,United States district court **En Banc**,Eastern district of Missouri,United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit **En Banc**,liable under Article III § I of the Constitution,28 USC § 453,the Bill of Rights,for their deliberate failure to **"Recurs" themselves** upon Petitioner's Written Requests from

this case,and the other four(4) here on writ of certiorari, e.g.,Jones vs.Sharp Electronics Corp.,et al., Jones vs.American Civil Liberties Union,et al.; Jones vs.Suburban Journal, Newspapers of Greater St.Louis,et al.; Jones vs.Weatherforld, Chief Administration John Cochran VA Medical Center,et al., Jones vs.ABC Television Network,et al., also named as defendants in Jones vs.Rehnquist,et al., and Jones vs.Fernando J. Gaitan,U.S.District Judge,et al.,now pending in Eighth Circuit,all **(PAID Cases)**.denied right to proceed.See one of those requests that these judges recurs themselves from this and other cases,attached to Joint Appendices-**6,7, and 8, and 12 and 19**,for **Personal** interest pursuant to 28 USC § 455 et.seq. supported by **Laird vs.Tatum**, 409 US 824(1972) and **United States vs.Will**, 449 US 200(1980).Instead of recursals,these federal judges conspired,issued another en banc order forever barring Petitioner from **Paying filing fee** to any court of United States to file pleading of any kind,and thereafter conspired to dismiss **With-Prejudice** at the same time all the above cited cases here now on writ of certiorari,in violations of as a minimum,18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242,1001,1961,1962,1963,and other,See e.g.,**O'Shea vs.Litteton, 414 US 488 at 503 (1974).**

ISSUE(6): Petitioner asks the Court to hold United States district court en banc Eastern district of Missouri, United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit,en banc Its Judicial Council en banc,for knowingly and intentionally sanctioning by judicial decisions,district court,discriminating against Petitioner on the basis of race,class and because

he is pro se pursuant to 28 USC § 1654,**P-A-I-D All costs and fees, in** both courts,First in all these cases listed above on p.,10,Petitioner served each defendant individually pursuant to Rule 4(d),thr.(G),Fed.R.Civ.P.,with copy of Complaints and request to **Waiver service of summons**,when Defendant(S) deliberately failed to respond,Petitioner had each Defendant served by **"Professional Process Servers,"** with copy of Complaints and **Summonses**.He filed the returns with the clerk of district court,and filed **Motion in each case for collection of costs and reasonable attorney's fee**,as required by the Rule 4(5),Fed.R.Civ.P.,Motions and affidavits.Each of these Motions and affidavits were denied by judge,**Catherine D. Parry**,sanctioned by district and appellate courts en banc, costs to Petitioner in the 1000s of dollars,amounting too, inter alia,crimes **Grand-Larceny,Extortion on monies from** Petitioner,without notice or hearings.**United States vs.James Daniek Good Real Property**, Slip op.,No.92-1180 Decided December 13,1993.

ISSUE(7): Petitioner asks the Court to hold the United States Court of appeal En Banc for the Eighth Circuit,the United States district court,Eastern District of Missouri,En Banc,its clerk,Robert D.St,Vrain,liable to the Petitioner,that exceed the scope of its power and authorities under Article III of the Constitution,violated their Oath of office under 28 USC § 453,subject to criminal prosecution under 18 USC § § as set forth above in issue(2) and (4),because the crimes of prohibiting the clerk to enter Judgment by Default upon Pet-

ioner's memorandum and affidavit pursuant to Rule 55(a)(b)(1) Fed.R.Civ.P.,and the clerk's deliberate failure to enter the judgment despite it did not need judge's permission,see Rule 77(c),Fed.R.Civ.P.That these criminal acts,discriminated against Petitioner depriving him of procedural due process and equal protection of the law,that all other attorneys,white, rich,famous and powerful receives as a matter of course.When the three prerequisites are met.e.g.,Defendants served with summons and complaint failed to appear and plead,Number(2) defendants are not an infant or incompetent person,and three Petitioner submitted memo,and affidavit showing a sum certain.Accordingly there were no other reason not entering the judgment,than to deprive Petitioner of the monies due by legal procedurals,failure to follow Federal Rules of procedurals, violates the due process and equal protection clauses of the 5th and fourteenth Amendments.See **Griffin vs.Breckenridge**, Supra., this Court declared the equal protection language and interpreted the term **"equal protection" and "equal privileges"** to mean the **"equal enjoyment of legal rights" free from all interference.** 403 US at 102,103,91 S Ct at 1798.Petitioner is entitled to no lesser degree because of his race and class. See e.g.,**p.p.,10,11,and 12** attached to Joint Appendix-12 & 19

ISSUE(8): Petitioner asks the Court to hold,that the United States court of Appeals En Banc,did knowingly and intentionally with reckless and callous disregard for the Civil and Constitutional rights of Petitioner,laws and procedurals of the United States,discriminated against him,exceeded the scope of

Its power and authorities under Article III of the Constitution,in violations of: 28 USC § 453,18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242, 1001,1961,1962,1963(The Reco Act),2071,2073,2075,and 2076,by Denying Petitioner right to **"Brief** his appeals by filing **"Briefs"** raising deliberate Constitutional **Crime(S) conspired** too,and made by district court en banc.despite Petitioner **"P-A-I-D All costs and fee(S)** in district court,including docketing notice of appeal **"105.00."** That Court ordered its clerk not to issue **"Briefing Schedules."** Further denied Petitioner right to file petition pursuant to Rule 35 Fed.R.App. P.,for **Hearing by Court En Banc.**See Appendices-A,and B. attached hereto;That this gross abuse of authorities,by the Court en banc,to continue the coverup of crimes by officer of federal court(S) as set forth in Joint Appendices-1,1A,2,3,4,5, 6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, and 19. herewith**(42 USC 1981,1985(3) and 1986** also violated,by the Court en banc.

ISSUE(9): Petitioner asks the Court to interpret for the United States district and Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals Title 42 USC § **1986** which states in Part:

> "Every person who having knowledge that any of the **wrongs** conspired to be done,and mentioned in section **1985** of this title,are about to be committed **and having power to prevent or aid in preventing** the commission of the same,**neglects or refuses so to do**,if such **wrongful act** be committed,shall be **liable** to the party injured,or his legal representatives,**for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented**;and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case;**and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may by joined as defendants In the action**;..."

The district court,Eastern Missouri,en banc reject the notion that a Plaintiff may join any number of defendants pursuant to 42 USC § 1986,by notice to the court,however,the court judges ruled that all newly joined defendants must be by court's permission on "Motion,pleading" pursuant to Rule 15 Fed.R.Civ.P.,Petitioner has in writings as[k] the lower courts to follow the language of the statute,which they refuses. Therefore,this Court has the Constitutional duty under article III to resolve and definite Congress intent that § 1986 be an independent avenue of Rule 15,for joiner of defendants with preventive power,knowledge of wrongful acts under § 1985(3), and refuses to prevent the same wrongful acts.as this Court interpreted the Civil Rights Acts § 1983 in **Pulliam,Magistrate for the County of Culpeper,Virginia vs.Allen**, 466 US 522, 80 L Ed 2d 565,104 S Ct 1970(1984).

ISSUE(10): Petitioner asks the Court to hold Unconstitutional on its face,Eighth Circuit local Rule 47(A),grossly racists,discriminatory,designed for the sole purpose of depriving Petitioner and members of his race and class,in neither **P-A-I-D** appeals,as herein,or those in forma pauperis under 28 USC § 1915(a) and (c).,appellate review,by depriving them of procedural rights to brief their appeals,by filing briefs raising Constitutional error(S) or crimes as here by district judges.E.g., herein Petitioner after **Paying all costs and fee(S)** in district court for these **Five(5)** cases here now on writs of certiorari,Petitioner **P-A-I-D "600.00 filing fees,** over **Six(6) Thousand dollar(S)** for service of **Summonses'** and copies of complaint(S) upon Respondents

Five(5) Hundred Twenty Five(525.00) for docketing notices of appeals in Eighth Circuit,but Petitioner's right to file brief on appeal denied pursuant to court's local Rule 47(A), which is outside any Federal Rule of Appellate Procedural enacted by U.S.Congress,the only purpose of the rule,to deprive poor black people of appellate review,access to court,See e.g., **Sullivan vs.Little Hunting Park,Inc.**, 396 US 229 at 236,90 S Ct 400 at 404,24 L Ed 2d 386 (1968),the end result is the same herein,the only element of exclusion is race and class,

ISSUE(11): Petitioner asks the Court to hold Chief, circuit judge,Richard S.Arnold,Civil,Criminal, and Constitutional liable for his criminal conduct,violates the Good behavior clause of Article III § I of the Constitution,28 USC § 453,federal criminal statutes set out above,by in response to **Timely Motion filed** by Petitioner addressed directly to chief circuit judge,Arnold,requesting **"Extension of Time,"** pursuant to Rule 26A Local rule App.P.,reason,the district judge,Catherine D.Parry,without jurisdiction,of complaints assigned to her,assigned herself,and at the same time dismissed all five-(5) Petitioner's **Paid cases With-Prejudice**,before all summonses returned and filed with clerk.Judge,Aranold had clerk, Michael A.Gans to write letter to Petitioner stating that he had no authority without panel of judges to grant extension of time,Petitioner responded in another pleading citing the Federal statute 28 USC § **1651(a)(b)**,and asking ruling on motion,motions denied see Appendix-F, attached hereto.As this Court said quoting judge New York Court of Appeals that **A Lie is**

a Lie no matter what its subject,and the person in authority has the responsibility and duty to correct what he/she knows to be false and elicit the **Truth**,see **Napue vs.Illinois**, 360 US 264 (1959)..

ISSUE(12):Petitioner asks this Court of hold Unconstitutional on its face, **Rule 12(b)(6),Fed.R.Civ.P.**, in light of Court's **Precedent in Conley vs.Gibson**, 355 US 41 (1957), **Haines vs.Kerner**, 404 US 519 (1972), reaffirmed in **Estelle vs.Gamble**, 429 US 97 (1976),reason,despite the language of this Court in the following words in Estelle vs.Gamble,that:

> "The **handwritten Pro Se document** is to be liberally construed. As the Court **unanimously held in Haines v.Kerner,404 U.S.519,92 S Ct 594,30 L Ed 2d 652 (1972)**, a pro se complaint,"'However **inartfully pleaded,'" must be held** to "'**less stringent standards** that formal pleadings drafted by lawyers'" **and can only be dismissed for failure to state a claim if it appears "'beyond doubt** that plaintiff **can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief.'"** In.,at 520-521,S Ct at 596,**quoting Conley v.Gibson,** 355 U.S.41,45-46, 78 S Ct 99,2 L Ed 2d 80(1957)."

and despite the language of this Court in **Hutto vs.Davis**, 454 US 370,70 L Ed 2d 556,102 S Ct 703(1982),that:

> "...**Unless we wish anarchy to** prevail within the federal judicial system, a **precedent** of this Court **M-U-S-T** be followed by the lower federal courts **no matter how misguided the judges of those courts may think it to be.**"

Federal Judges across the United States routinely and systemically disregards **Precedent(S)** of this Court,abusing its authority **Sua Sponte** as an ongoing pattern of conduct dismisses Pro Se Complaints pursuant to Rule 12(b(6),disregarding evidence supporting the claims as herein.See for yourself,Joint

Appendices-**3,4,5,6,7,8 and 10** herewith. Now see 12 and 19.

ISSUE(13): Petitioner asks the Court to held Criminal, Civil, and Constitutional liable,United States Attorney Generals,Janet Reno,and all other since 1977,Court of appeals **En Banc**,Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia **En Banc**,the Judicial Councils for those circuits,United States District Courts **En Banc** Eastern and Western Districts of Missouri,and District of Columbia/Respondents herein,for aiding and abetting,coverup and concealing after the fact,crimes of **Forgery of legal Documents,by** Assistant U.S.Attorney Joseph B.Moore, Moore conspired with two alleged U.S.Marshals,**Kenneth H.Link,** and **Frank J.Smms**,caused the forged document to be served upon now known as "American Title Insurance Co.," seized the proceeds from the sale of Petitioner and wife's family home,without **"Notice" or "Hearing" to** Petitioner or wife and four(4) minor children,in violations of the First,Fourth,Fifth,Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments,The Bill of Rights,and the long-standing Precedents of this Court.See e.g.,**Powell vs. Alabama**,287 US 45 at 66,53 S Ct 55,77 L Ed 158 (1932); **United States vs.James Daniel Good Real Property**, Slip op.,No.92-1180 Decided December 13,1993: Assistant/[illegible].Moore seized **$2000 dollars** cash from Petitioner's wife,without notice or hearing and numerous other crimes,documented against Petitioner and family,he can introduce against these respondents.

ISSUE(14): Petitioner asks the Court to hold **"Unconstitutional on its fact, 28 Code of Federal Regulations** 50.15 for total lack of enforcement by federal officials and employ-

ees,e.g.,under the statute any federal official or employee seeking to be represented by United States Department of Justice,in civil and/or criminal case,must first request from his/her immediate supervisor that representation,and the Department of Justice attorneys,must investigate from the information whether the person qualify,and as herein no representation,when the persons are charged with,and the evidence shows they have knowing and intentionally,with reckless and callous disregarded clear principles of established law,and Civil and Constitutional rights of citizens.The evidence will overwhelming show,that not one federal official or employee for the past twenty(20) years in complaints filed by Petitioner,including Jones vs.Rehnquist,et al.,No.95-2007 now pending in Eighth circuit,despite each Defendant served with summons and copy of complaint,followed prerequisite procedurals for representation by justice department.In fact to make a **Mockery of justice**,assistant U.S.Attorney Joseph B.Moore,a criminal named in all Petitioner's complaint(S) for inter alia,**Forgery of legal document(S) extortion of monies,without notice or hearing conspiring to do so,and conspiring to coverup and conceal,**Claiming to represent all federal officials and employees over the written objection(S) of Petitioner.See Joint Appendices-5,beginning with p.1,complaint,see copy of **Forged document used to extort monies**,as number(35).see Joint Appendix-16,Exhibits-E, See attached as Exhibits- 3A,4A and 5A, in consistency in Respondent Moore's representation of federal officials.

ISSUE(15): Petitioner asks the Court to hold on its

fact,grossly Discriminatory,Unconstitutional,designed for the sole purpose,to mislead,a **Fraud upon the American Citizens,** to conceal and coverup crime(S) and constitutional errors,that deprives the black and poor of due process and equal enjoyment of the law,based upon race,class and other,that is **Public Law 96-458,94 Stat.2035.S.1873(1980), [Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act 28 USC § § 372 and 332]** E.g., its claims to be a statute remedy for discipline federal circuit and district judges,including magistrate judges,when in truth and fact,both district and circuit judges sits on the council,in as herein,judgments for themselves,such as herein the Eighth circuit,district judge,Stephen N.Limbaugh a member of the judicial council.In the district of Columbia,district judges, John Garrett Penn,chief,Charles R.Richey,Harold H. Green,Joyce Hens Greene,Stanley Sporkin,and Royce C.Lamberth all members of judicial council,named as defendants/appellees in Jones vs.Rehnquist,95-2007 (8th Cir),pending and in Jones vs.Gaitan,et al.,No.95-1789 pending (8th Cir.),also the circuit judges named in those complaints,sitting in judgment for themselves,and no higher remedy for appeal.And absolutely no procedural for Justices of the U.S.Supreme Court,placing them **Above the law,**contrary to statement by Supreme Court in **Butz vs.Economou,** 438 US 478 at 506(1978),that:

> "Our system of jurisprudence rests on the assumption that all individuals,whatever their position in government,are subject to federal law:
> "No man in this country **is so high that he is above the law.** No officer of the law may set that **law at defiance with impunity. All the officers of the government from the highest to the lowest**

are creatures of the law, and are bound to obey it." That includes Justices of this Court,and the President of the United States,and members of Congress,See USCS **Public Law 104-1.p.a.(1995). [Congressional Accountability Act].**

ISSUE(16): Petitioner asks the Court to hold the following federal Statutes Unconstitutional on their face,because as used by lower federal judges,grossly racists,discriminatory,used by the Respondents judges herein to deny Petitioner due process and equal protection of the law,to coverup and conceal **felony Crime(S)** knowingly and intentionally committed through criminal conspiracies and crimes in Petitioner's federal trial June 4,1976,and despite the multitude of evidence showing these crimes and Petitioner's innocence,disregarded and for the past twenty(20) years routinely and systemically Denied Petitioner an Evidentiary-Hearing pursuant to Sanders **vs.United States,** 373 US 1,(1963).supra.; **Townsend** vs.Sain, 372 US 293(1963),supra.;**McQueen vs.Swenson(1)** 498 F 2d 107 (8th Cir.1974) and **McGueen vs.Swenson(II),** 560 F 2d 959(8th Cir.1977).See Petitioner's Joint Appendices-**1,2,3,4,5, and Consolidated Appendices-6,7, and 8.**The statutes are: **28 USC § § 2255,2241,2242,2243 and the All Writs Act.1651.**That these crimes against Petitioner,members of his race and class,based solely upon race,class and because Petitioner Pro se under 28 USC § 1654.

ISSUE(17):Petitioner asks the Court to hold,that he has been grossly Discriminated against on the basis of his race and class,denied Equal protection of law by the United

States Congress,all members of the House and Senate Committees of the Judiciary,e.g., Petitioner has contacted each member of the House and Senate Committees on the Judiciary,by US mail,furnished each of them with 1000s of page(S) of documentation-evidence sufficient **Overwhelming for impeachment trials and removal of these federal judges,**but on each occasion,the only member of these committees that responded,were Joseph R.Biden,Jr.,when Chairman,and now Senate Orrin G.Hatch current Chairman,Senate Committee on the Judiciary,see copies of some of these responses attached to Joint Appendix-**17** herewith.While routinely and systemically denied Petitioner his right to be Heard,offer impeachment evidence,members of the **Ku Klux Klan,**such as Randy Weaver and/or one of the others **Hate Groups/mongers,**from **"Rudy Ridge** ,requested to be heard by the U.S.Congress and were granted,Hearings were ongoing for over a month,FBI agents investigated his claim,federal courts awarded Weaver and family 3.2 million dollars,and the U.S.Congress plan to hold more hearings on the **"Rudy Ridge" issue,** and the Federal courts including the U.S.Supreme Court,maintains an **Revolving Door Police** for members of the **Ku Klux Klan** and other white groups for e.g.,see **Capitol Square Review and Advisory Board vs.Pinette, No.94-780 Decided June,29,1995; Hurley vs.Irish-American Gay,Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston, No.94-749 Decided June 19,1995; Rosenberger vs.Rector and of University of Virginia, No.94-329 Decided June 29,1995,**at the same time,routinely and systemically denies Petitioner access to this U.S.Supreme Court,based on his poverty see e.g.,

as an ongoing pattern of conduct,Joint Appendix-9 numerous occasions the Supreme Court denied Petitioner access,to proceed **"In Forma Pauperis." Despite** the petition(S) discloses **"Crime(S)"** committed by federal judge(S),See further formal complaints Sworn thereto by Petitioner **Notarized** to Director FBI Louis Freeh,and his head agent in St.Louis,James W.Nelson requesting based upon documented evidence,further investigation for criminal prosecutions,See Joint Appendices-**16 and 18. These** requests ignored,same by U.S.Attorney Edward L.Dowd Jr.

ISSUE(18): Petitioner asks the Court to hold that the Federal Justice System,the Executive,Judicial,and Legislative Branches of the United States Government did conspired,agreed, to discriminate against Petitioner,on the basis of race and class,while acting under federal laws and authorities,for the past twenty(20) years routinely and systemically issued orders,judgements,opinions against Petitioner that knowingly set forth known falsely manufactured **Lie(S),**see **Napue** vs.**Illinois, 360 US 264 at 269 79 S Ct 1173 at 1177(1959),**concerning Petitioner's Civil Rights complaints pursuant to the **1964 and 1991 Civil Rights acts,** see for e.g.,district court's two(2) **en Banc Order(S),**totally,after being issued in the clear absence of **[all]** jurisdiction over the subject matter,"Uncorroborated"by a trace of **"Independent-Evidence,"** in whole or part, designed for the sole purposes of turning public opinions against Petitioner by **Defamation of character,Slanders,Smear, Libelous statements,**Wire,Mail and Interstate Fraud,inter alia, to coverup and conceal crimes mentioned herein,with **Impunity,**

totally unsupported by trial court's files and records,Petitioner asks that federal judges be Constitutionally **Required** to introduce **"Independent-Evidence" of its orders/judgments,** to support the indictments it makes against citizens,that can deprives them as herein,of life,liberty,**Family,Wife and Children,home,real and personal properties,imprisoned them as here for total of Eighteen(18) years.**Upon a total lack of legal evidence,and afterward,conspire to repeatedly deny him his Constitutional Right to be heard,**Freedom of Speech,to petition the government for redress,offer evidence,**to full and equal rights and proceedings as enjoyed by White,Rich,Famous and **Powerful.,**Capiton Square Review and Advisory Board vs.Pinette spura,; Hurley vs.Irish-American Gay,Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston,supra,; Rosenberger vs.Rector and Visitors of University of Virginia,Supra.; **United States vs.James Daniel Real Property, No.92-1180 Decided December 13,1993;** Leatherman vs.Tarrant County Narcotics Intelligence and Coordination Init, **___US___,113 S Ct 1160(1993).**

ISSUE(19): Petitioner asks the this Court to hold,United States circuit Court of appeals en banc for the Eighth,the United States Department of Justice,from 1976 up to and including Janet Reno's Department,Federal district court en banc,for Eastern Missouri,in violation of Federal Civil,Criminal and Constitutional laws,**Catherine D.Parry,**district judge,e.g., Titles 18 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242,See **O'Shea vs.Littleton,** 414 US 488 at 503,38 L Ed 2d 674,94 S Ct 669 at 679,680(1974),that:

"...we have never held that the performance of the

duties of judicial,legislative,or executive,officers,requires or contemplates the immunization of otherwise criminal deprivation of constitutional right(S). On the contrary,the judicially fashioned doctrine of official immunity **does not reach "'so far as to immunize criminal conduct proscribed by an Act of Congress...'"**

That these officials further knowingly committed crimes in violations of: 18 USC § § 1961,1962,1963(The Rico Act),2071, 2073,2075, and 2096,Articles III § I and IV § 2 of the Constitution,Section 2 of the Thirteenth Amendment,The Due Process and equal Protection Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments,**The Bill of Rights**,28 USC **§ 453,455**,inter alia,by conspiring together and with other diverse persons,to deprive Petitioner of all civil and Constitutional rights to be head,freedom of speech,access to court,when he **P-A-I-D** all costs and fees as white,rich,famous and powerful,such as members of the **Ku Klux Klan**, **Capitol Square Review and Advisory Board vs.Pinette**, slip op.,No.94-780 Decided June 29,1995; **Hurley** vs.**Irish-American Gay,Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston**,slip op.,No.94-749 Decided June,**19,1995**; **Rosenberger vs.Rector and Visitors of University of Virginia**,slip op.,No. 94-329,Decided June,**29,1995**; **United States vs.James Daniel Good Real Property**, slip op.,No.92-1180 Decided December 13, **1993**,that Petitioner were entitled to the same rights in all laws and proceedings,free form discrimination on the basis of his race and class,**Jones vs.Alfred H.Mayer Co.**,392 US 409 (1968); **Sullivan vs.Little Hunting Park,Inc.**, 396 US 229(1969); **Griffin vs.Breckenridge**, 403 US 88(1971),Petitioner has lesser rights than **"Slaves**,because he has no where to go when his

Civil and Constitutional Rights has been violated.

ISSUE(20), Petitioner asks this Court to hold,based upon the documented evidence herewith,the deliberate failure of these federal Respondents herein,and across the United States,Janet Reno and her Department of Justice,House and Senate of the United States Congress,guilty of knowingly violating laws of the United States,and these judges their **Oath of Office**,rendering these federal Statutes,Unconstitutional on there face,for total lack of enforcement in Petitioner's **Pro Se P-A-I-D Civil rights cases**, Title **42 USC § § 1981,1982,1983, 1985, [1986], and 1988; 28 USC § § 547(1), 526,and 535 et seq.,** For the past twenty(20) years,these three Branches of the Federal Government did conspired after and before the facts,to and did coverup and concealed crimes committed by officers of the federal courts,e.g., see herewith Joint Appendices-1,2,3, **4**,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, and 19,**Fully Incorporated herein.**For all the documented proof herewith,and the ongoing conspiratorial agreement to disregard **Precedent(S)** of the Supreme Court,if cited by Petitioner,contrary to Doctrine of **Stare Decisis, Patterson vs.McLean Credit Union**, 491 US 164,109 S Ct 2363(1989).Petitioner further asks that this Court,follow procedurals submit these documents to the U.S. House and Senate for **Impeachment trials**.See Further **Hutto vs. Davis**,454 US 370,70 L Ed 2d 556,102 S Ct 703,Slip op.,No.81-23 at p.5,(1982),**the failure of federal judges to follow Precedent(S) of this Court,causes "Anarchy"** herein.

ISSUE(21): Petitioner asks this Court to hold United

States district courts en banc,Eastern District of Missouri, judges,Chief,Edward L.Filippine,Stephen N.Limbaugh,George F. Gunn,Jr.,Jean C.Hamilton,Donald J.Stohr,Carol E.Jackson, **Catherine D.Parry**,Charles A.Shaw,Senior,Clyde S.Cahill,and John F.Nangle,former chief,**Exceeded all Federal Civil,Criminal and Constitutional Laws,**the **scope of their powers under Article III of the Constitution,the requirements under 28 USC § 453,and 455,**by inter alia,conspiring without subject matter jurisdiction over the issues decided and fraudulent **En Banc order(S)** corruptly issued totally uncorroborated by a trace of independent evidence in court files and records on the cases cited on en banc orders against Petitioner that knowingly deprived him of **[a-1-1] Civil and Constitutional Rights,Privileges and Immunities as a citizen of the United States** entitled to all Guarantees of the Constitution,as other white, famous,rich, and powerful litigants.E.g.,on June 17,1988 the district court en banc issued an order barring Petitioner from all civil and constitutional rights in federal courts across the United states.That order were repeatedly used for eight(8) years,denied **Leave to "File" any pleading in federal courts.** in **Forma Pauperis (28 USC § 1915(a)**, despite affidavit of poverty showed that Petitioner had no money of security.Beginning March 1994 Petitioner begin receiving SSI federal checks $391.00 and $278.00 Veterans Administration(Affairs),he then **P-A-I-D all costs and fees,service of summonses and complaint(S) upon respondents in these Seven(7) cases,five here now on writ of certiorari.**In order to maintain the coverup

these federal judges knowingly exceeded the scope of all authorities under Article III of the Constitution,to **"Strip" any** citizens of the United States of his/her Civil and Constitution Rights,Privileges or immunities,and surely not when the reasons,are based entirely upon falsely manufactured **Lie(S). See** Joint Appendices-**(4)** 12,19,10, and **11**,herewith.Petitioner further asks that the United States courts,district and appellate,and its Judicial Council,for the District of Columbia,indictments against Petitioner also be held unconstitutional,see Joint Appendices-**15 and 16.**

ISSUE(22): Petitioner asks the Court to hold Respondents, Janet reno,U.S.Attorney General,her director of Federal bureau of Investigation,Louis Freeh,head FBI agent herein St Louis, Missouri,James W.Nelson,U.S.Attorney for the district,Edward L.Dowd,Jr.,Members of the House and Senate Committees on the Judiciary,of the U.S.Congress,all members of the Ethics Committee,the Judicial Councils for the Eighth Circuit and District of Columbia Circuit,guilty of knowingly and intentionally with reckless and callous disregards for the Civil and Constitutional Rights of the Petitioner,Civil and criminal laws of the United States,reason these individuals were furnished with 100s of documentation evidence,such as a few of that evidence herewith as Joint Appendices-**(4), Consolidated 12 and 19,(5), consolidated 6,7,and 8, 10,11,16,17,18,** and see some of the costs and fees to Petitioner at **15.**These Respondents had Constitutional and Statutory duty(28 USC § § 544,453,547(1),526, 535,et seq.,use the evidence for **Impeachment trials,and prosecution,**unless federal judges

are above the law,contrary to **O'Shea vs.Litteton,supra.; City of Los Angeles vs.Lyons,supra.; Dennis vs.Spark,supra.; Butz vs.Economou, supra.; Pulliam,Magistrate for the Country of Culpeper,Virginia vs.Allen,supra.; Bivens vs.Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics,supra.; Board of Regents of State Colleges vs.Roth,supra.; Stump vs.Sparkman,** 435 US 349 at 350,356,55 L Ed 2d 331,98 S Ct 1099 at 1101,1105(1978).

ISSUE(23): Petitioner asks the Court,after careful review of all the documentation evidence herewith as Joint Appendices-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, and 19,which show an ongoing racists pattern of felony crimes knowingly committed by the lower courts,and officers of those courts,that has been ongoing for the past**(20) Years, consecutive** conspired together too routinely and systemically denied Petitioner **"Precedent(S)"** of this Court,and their own circuit courts,in which to conceal and coverup the crimes mentioned herein,most of these felony crimes,with respect to the three en banc order against Petitioner,to follow the language in **Conley vs.Gibson,355 US 41(1957); Haines vs.Kerner, 404 US 519, (1972); Estelle vs.Gamble, 429 US 97 at 106 97 S Ct 285 at 292 (1976), Reaffirmed Haines. Neitzke vs.Williams, 490 US 319 (1989),**can any federal judge,with a trace of **"Integrity"** state that from the evidence herewith,Petitioner could not prove any set of facts **"Beyond Doubt"** that would entitle him to relief ? See **Hutto vs.Davis,454 US 370.L Ed 2d 556,102 S Ct 703(1982):**

> "...unless we wish **anarchy** to prevail within the federal judicial system,**a precedent of this court MUST** be followed by the lower federal courts **no matter how misguided the judges of those courts may think**

it to be."

these Article judges not only failed to follow **Precedent(S)** of this Court,but knowingly violated repeatedly 42 USC § 1986 by failing to prevent the commission of further criminal acts.

ISSUE(24):Petitioner asks the Court to hold Respondents,named herein members of the media(Because of numerous respondents,hereinafter the "Media"), conspiring with officers of the federal court(S),and overt acts/and crimes in violation of 42 USC **§ 1985, and 1986**,coverup and concealed these crimes set out above by officers of the courts,each respondent/media were furnished evidence of these crimes,which has been ongoing for past twenty(20) years,but instead of using that evidence in the manner it does,in its publications,newspapers, news Television stations,news-magazines,etc.,decided to conceal these crimes from the American's citizens,by inactions,indecisions and omissions,despite power to prevent further criminal acts against Petitioner,Civil and Constitutional Rights, and laws of the United States,aided and abated commission of further crimes in violation of:28 USC § § 1,2,3,4,241,242,1001, 1961,1962,1963,2071,2073,2075, and 2076,E.g.,see the multitude of uncorroborated **Lie(S) aired by TV reporter Channel 4 St.Louis,as Jammie Allman,Jutuis Hunter,Larry Conner,and officials,** conspiring with federal district judge,**Catherine' D.Parry,and clerk(S) of district court**,that gave some of the **Lie(S) to Jammie Allman**,and Allman,falsely manufactured the others on its on.**See Exhibits-CC and DD** attached hereto.See also Consolidated Joint Appendix-12 and 19,**p.p.,11,12,13,14, and** 15(details).

ISSUE(25):Petitioner asks the Court to hold that the United States Courts en banc for the Eastern and Western Districts of Missouri,district of Columbia,the circuit courts of said districts,the Judicial Councils for said districts,the United States attorneys for said districts,and the U.S.Attorneys Generals of the United States,from 1976 up to and including Janet Reno,conspired in multitude criminal conspiracies, since June 4,1976 heretofore **1995** to deprive Petitioner of due process and equal protection under the law,by routinely and systemically and repeatedly denied Petitioner an **Evidentiray-Hearing** for the past **Twenty(20) years**,to date. Pursuant to 28 USC § § 2255,2241,2242,2243, and the all writs Act(28 USC § **1651, Sanders vs.United States**,373 US 1,(1963) **(Copy attached too Joint Appendix-6,7,and 8,(Consolidated).** or **McQueen vs. Swenson(1)**,498 F 2d 207(8th Cir.1974);**McQueen vs.Swenson(II) 560 F 2d 959(8th Cir.1977), (Copy attached to Joint Appendix-3).** Despite the mountain of **Documentation-evidence**,see e.g., **Joint Appendices-3,4,5, and the continuous attempt(S) by federal judges to deny Petitioner his Day-In-Court,right to be heard,see consolidated Joint Appendix-12 and 19** [all fully incorporated herein,including authorities).

b-Petitioner [**asks this Court to issue Show Cause Order to these federal officer(S) of the Court**] By independent evidence,**Justify denying for twenty(20) years Petitioner his right to be heard,upon evidence,and testimonies of witnesses**. And why these judges exceeded the scope of all powers.

ISSUE(26),Petitioner asks the Court to hold Unconstit-

utional the felony crimes/acts knowingly and intentionally committed by the federal judges,courts En Banc,Eastern and Western districts of Missouri,district of Columbia,Circuits for those districts,and this Court,attempts to [P-R-I-C-E **Petitioner O-U-T Of the Federal Judicial System,**for the sole purpose to coverup and conceal these ongoing multitude of federal crimes and overt acts,**Crimes** knowingly committed,beban,the federal investigation,testimonies(**Perjured**) from the core before the Grand-Jury,and during the trial itself,and continuing heretofore by routinely denying Petitioner his Constitutional right to be **heard,Freedom of Speech,Access to Court,the right to petition the Government for Redress,and to full and fair Proceedings as enjoyed by White,Rich,Famous and Powerful,**.e.g., Petitioner served **Ten(10) Consecutive Years in Federal Prisons, Eight(8) Consecutive Years on Parole, a total of Eighteen(18) consecutive years,**when **"Overwhelming Evidence"** of Petitioner's innocence in court files and records,more that herewith,but in order to **(S)ilence)** Petitioner forever district court en banc Eastern Missouri,issued,without jurisdiction an en banc order June 17,1988 that systemically **Forever** denied Petitioner **Leave to "File" any pleading in and federal court in forma pauperis,contrary to 28 USC § 1915(a) and (c). Precedent of this Court,** Adkins vs.E.I. DuPont de Nemours, 335 US 331 at 342(1948).for eight(8) years the courts used en banc order to deny Petitioner access.See copy of said en banc order attached to Joint Appendix(Consolidated) 12 and 19, **marked as court's Appendix-A.**

Petitioner motioned with affidavit of poverty to file and proceed in forma pauperis because he had just been released from federal prisons,and medically disabled to work,the same known by federal officials.But when Petitioner begin to receive **Supplement Social Security,he filed the cases cited herein P-A-I-D all costs and fees,**e.g., see Joint Appendix-(Consolidated 13 and 14) fully incorporated herein.But the federal judges continuing quest to keep Petitioner from being heard,on April 10,1995 conspired too,and did issued another en banc order,**new** Petitioner is barred from filing any pleading without court permission that he **will P-A-i-D fees to file $120.00 and all other costs,as he did herein.See court's en banc order attached to Joint Appendix-13 and 14,**See further the multitude of **Falsely Manufactured Lie(S),**set out on the en banc order by respondent,judge,Catherine D.Parry and signed by court en banc.These federal judges is **Pathological L-I-E-D-E-R-S.** E.g.,See judge,Catherine D.Parry's attempt to silence Petitioner by multitude of lie(S) concerning cases Petitioner filed within the past twenty(20) years,that in each one denied right to **L-I-T-I-G-A-T-E,no hearing or trial were held.**See Appendix-B attached to court's en banc order,that judge,Parry and the district court en banc,cannot introduce a trace of independent evidence to support those lie(S).So what did the court do,engaged in an ongoing **criminal Campaign of,character assassination,Defamation,Smear;Slander, and other to turn public opinions against Petitioner,See alse Joint Appendix-9 and 15,**and to file these seven(7) cases herein the Su-

preme Court of the United states in forma pauperis under the Rule,the original and ten(10) copies of petition and joint appendices,costs to Petitioner including copy and mailing to all respondents,for the second time.Approximately ten(10) **Thousand Dollars,**Which Petitioner asks the Court to **Delineate the terms (Proceeding in Forma Pauperis), since Poor black people like myself,does not have funds to present 10 copies of pleadings to this Court(It should be noted,Petitioner had to take out Loans). Otherwise leave to file here, would be denied,**e.g.,this Court in the past,before Justice were for sale,had to file only (The Original with the Clerk). See e.g., **Estelle vs.Gamble,supra.**there the prisoner filed his Pro se complaint in **"Handwritten." See too Neitzke vs.Williams Supra**concerning complaints under 28 USC § 1915(a) and Rule 12 (b)(6).The evidence is **crystal clear, Poor Blacks are deprive of access to courts on the basis of poverty,race and class.**

ISSUE(27):Petitioner asks this Court to hold that the the lower federal judges,did conspired,discriminated against Petitioner;deprived him of due process and equal protection under the law,exceeded the scope of power under Article III § I of the Constitution,in violation of 28 USC § 453,by inter alia,for the past twenty(20) years routinely and systemically **Denied Petitioner his right to prevail upon the "Preponderance-of-Evidence," pursuant to Doctrine of "Stare Decisis," See e.g.,Patterson vs.McLean Credit Union,Supra.,491 US 164.That in all other cases filed by White,Rich,Famous or Powerful Litigants,go to trial if demanded,and prevail on Preponderance of**

Evidence in Civil Prosecutions;That Petitioner under the Fifth,Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Amendments,entitled to the same protection under the law,and Guarantees of the Constitution.

ISSUE(28), Petitioner asks that the doctrine of Judicial Immunity,in its present form and uses,is Unconstitutional on its face,used for the purposes of sanctioning felony crimes against citizens by officers of the federal courts,judges,magistrates,judges and prosecutors,as in the present cases. Federal judges across the United States day-by-day,uses their powers,while hiding behind judicial immunity knowingly commits felony crimes,destroys citizens' lives,families,take away real and personal properties,in criminal trials convict them and imprisoned them,upon as herein,a total lack of evidence that would surface in a civil case,not to win but would loose in a civil case,Reason a total lack of enforcement of laws when violated by federal officials,placing federal judges above the law,contrary to language by this Court in **Butz vs. Economou,supra., 98 S Ct at 2910,2911; Stump vs.Sparkman, Supra.; 98 S Ct at 1104,1105; O'Shea vs.Littleton,supra., 94 S Ct 679,680; See also Harlow vs.Fitzgerald, 457 US 800,102 S Ct 2727(1982); Dennis vs.Sparks,supra., 66 L Ed 2d at 191; This Court said it has jurisdiction from all appeals holding** Acts of Congress Unconstitutional under 28 USC § 1252,see e.g.,**United States vs.Will,449 US 200 (1980).as** Petitioner has challenges herein all these Acts of Congress,because gross abuses,felony crimes against citizens by judges,prosecutors, and other officers of the courts.Since these officers today

judges,magistrates,attorneys,clerks,law-clerks,and other, **Mirrors society,with all evils,and disregards for rights of others,Oath of office,lack of Integrity as respondents herein,** this Court re-think **Bradley vs.Fisher**,13 Wall 335,20 L Ed 646 **(1872), and give it news meanings,and delineate new boundaries,and enforcement by the United States Department of Justice.crimes knowingly and intentionally committed against black citizens by federal judges with impunity**,sanctioned by this Court,that **Should** look behind just a pro se petition for writ of certiorari,to the **Crimes by federal judges**,which each member of this Court,as Justice and citizen of the United states,to bring all criminals to just,rather judge or not,and the failure,does not excludes Justices or Congress for liability under **42 USC § 1986.** And there are nothing in the language of the statute that says otherwise.

ISSUE(29),Petitioner asks the Court to hold Unconstitutional on its face,28 USC § **1915(a) and (c), and 1654**,for all the reasons set forth herein,e.g.,1915(a) Congress intended leave to file any pleading in forma pauperis,based solely upon the **"Financial statement in the affidavit,"** determine person's ability to prepay filing fee and costs to proceed.Federal judges knowingly disregard this requirement,to sue sponte dismiss Civil Rights Complaint(S) by poor black people.§ 1915(c) requires all officers of the court to proceed in the same manner its does **"Paid Cases"** which includes,investigation persons,persons to take oral **Depositions,discovery,witnesses testimonies, and all other procedurals as paid litigants. And § 1654 auth-**

orizes any person,in any court of the united states to appear personally,and plead and/or conduct their own cases personally or by counsel.which all courts of the United States,as herein disregards,and violates repeatedly these statutes,so does the U.S.Department of Justice,in all pro se complaints submitted by poor black people,see the documents herewith,for a showing of facts. The evidence further shows an ongoing **Pattern of felony crimes,by federal judges and attorneys,acting as attorneys,Counsel(S),Representing Defendants,such as Respondents herein,in all civil Rights Case(S) filed by poor and middle-class blacks herein Eastern Missouri,judge rules M-O-R-E than "95" per cent of the times against civil rights and plaintiffs,see copy of news paper/article which (American Civil Liberties Union) were involved in the 12 years analysis,**attached to Exhibix-9 that is attached to Joint Appendix-11.

CONCLUSION

The Writ of certiorari should be granted,because the United States Judicial, and Department of Justice has conspired for **Twenty(20) Years,to Deny Petitioner his Constitutional Rights,to be [Heard].To have Overwhelming-Evidence showing felony crimes committed by judges,U.S.Attorneys(S),and other to convict him,June 1976,and to maintain the coverup heretofore by such:**

1. **Obstructing Justice,2.Racketeering,3.Forgery of Legal Documents,3.Extortion of monies from Petitioner and family,4.Repeatedly Lying in material matters,**
5. **Wire,Mail and Interstate Fraud,6.Grand-Larceny,7. Complicity,8.Making and repeatedly using,known,false,fictitious and Fraudulent Statement(S),Writing(S),Entrie(S),Document(S),Representation(S),Misapplication(S) of Law and fact(S),Deception(S) of Public Trust,under 28 USC § 453,Article III § I of**

and inter alia,Conspiring to conceal and coverup, these felony crimes,by engaging in ongoing criminal campaign against Petitioner of,but not limited too:

(9)Defamation of character,swear,slander, libellous statement(S) by federal judges,and clerks of court to the media,concerning pending litigations,and other Civil Rights ComplaintS), Sua Sponte dismissed in violation of the Constitution.

(10),That all seven(7) of these cases(Paid) fees and consts by Petitioner,sua sponte dismissed by judge,Catherine D.Parry, without jurisdiction,denied Petitioner right to litigate(11), Denied the right to collect costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to Rule 4(5),Fed.R.Civ.P.,(12),Denied right to Judgment(S) by Default,under Rule 55(a)(b)(1),(13),Denied right to summonses under Rule 4(a)(b),after some Defendants served with requests to Waiver service of summonses,under Rule 4(d) thr.(G),failed to respond,(13),Denied right under 28 USC § 455 and 453 judges named as defendants,in each of these cases, and in two pending cases in Eighth Circuit entitled: Jones vs. Rehnquist,et al., and Jones vs.Gatain,et al.,(8th Cir).(14), denied right to joiner of Defendants,pursuant to 42 USC § 1986,(15),the two(2) district court's "En Banc Order" issued against Petitioner based upon unsupported Lie(S),Stripping him of all Civil and Constitutional Rights,are unconstitutional, order of judge,Parry to U.S.Marshals,to arrest Petitioner without warrant or probable-cause,when he comes into federal Courthouse,violates both Civil and Constitutional rights,the warrant cause of Fourteenth,and Fifth Amendments.And that Petitioner right to be heard,as the Ku Klux Klan in federal courts.

Sylvester Jones

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 5 1995

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
E. DISTRICT OF MO.

No. 95-2785EMSL

Sylvester Jones,

Appellant,

vs.

ABC-TV, et al.,

Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri

4:94CV1142 CDP

JUDGMENT

All of appellant's pending motions are denied.

This court has reviewed the original file of the United States District Court. It is ordered by the court that the judgment of the district court is affirmed. See Eighth Circuit Rule 47A(a).

August 8, 1995

A true copy.

Attest: Michael E. Gans

CLERK, U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

APPENDIX-A

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 19, 1993

Mr. Sylvester Jones
Apartment 404 East
1220 Warren Street
St. Louis, Missouri 63106

Dear Mr. Jones:

Thank you so much for your letter. President Clinton greatly appreciates the trust and confidence you have expressed in him by writing.

To give your concerns the special attention they deserve, the President has asked me to refer your letter to the Department of Justice for review. I have asked them for a prompt reply. If you would like to contact them directly, they can be reached at Room 4400-AA, 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Please let me know if I can be of any further help.

Sincerely,

Marsha Scott
Deputy Assistant to the President
and Director of Presidential
Correspondence

APPENDIX-AA

Office of the President-elect
and Vice President-elect

January 12, 1993

Mr. Sylvester Jones
1220 Warren Street, #404 East
Saint Louis, Missouri 63106

Dear Sylvester:

Thank you for writing to President-elect Bill Clinton asking for assistance. He has been deeply touched by the trust that the American people have placed in him. Both he and Vice President-elect Al Gore are strongly committed to resolving the difficult and often painful problems that we face as individuals and as a nation.

During this transition period, we believe that the most appropriate, and ultimately most effective, course is to hold your request to be referred to the appropriate federal agency after Mr. Clinton assumes office. Your letter has been placed in a priority file.

Thank you for your patience and for your confidence in President-elect Clinton.

Sincerely,

S. Lee Hudnall, Deputy Director
Correspondence Department
Presidential Transition Office

APPENDIX-BB

ipation with judge,Catherine D.Parry and the district court en banc,stated: (Channel 4 TV Reporter Jammie Allman,Jutuis Hunter and Larry Conner's statements in Quotes):

First Jutuis Hunter: "The federal courthouse to night some judges is locking the doors to the halls of justice." Larry Conner,also anchors with Hunter,said: "What they are trying to do is stop One man from continuingly filing lawsuits.They say his lawsuits is wasting your tax payer's dollars,it'san unusual story you will only see hear on channel 4.Jammie Allman joins us from the federal courthouse,Jammie we need to hear what this is all about." Jammie Allman:

Well you know the federal courthouse may be a public building,but there is One man differently unwelcome here,he keep a copy of the 'Pelecan Brief' around at all times,but Sylvester Jones knows more about the law,than that,take a look at his library law books deluge,every thing up to date,he's got favorite laws and he's got favorite cases,and his favorite pass time has been filing federal lawsuits. 53 of them in the past twenty years,but federal court official(S) says he's no Parry Madison,he's a pest .In an unprecedented move a federal order barring him from filing any more suits without prior permission from a judge.The order signed by every judges in St. Louis.They accused Jones of; they call an variation and frivolous lawsuits,they cited for example,Jones sued a Copier machine manufacturer because the Toner indicator light comes on prior to the time the Torn needed to be replaced.He sued because he claimed his apartment was unsafe,because his door locks were unsafe, and the VA hospital because they ignored his complaint,that he's Maalox had been tamper with.Then he sued because the media failed to report on all his lawsuits.So why does Sylvester Jones has such a gripe against the federal court system ? Well he has a file for that too,it goes back twenty years to a time he says he were wrongfully accused and convicted of dealing heroin, he says he has evidence that the police lied,and he even used the Supreme Court to get his case heard.He had been able to get his cases filed free,now he can bearly get in the door.So what is Sylvester Jones going to do now ? Yes-er,you guessed he's going to sue.This could go all the way to the Court of Appeal.He is going to try to get this band lifted.'

The district judges,knowingly conspired with Defendants in the cases to have channel 4 TV News officials,with consent of the owners,to air these falsely manufactured Lie(S),the complaints themselves clearly manifest that in there contents,it is grossly outrage that federal judges,clerks of federal court,would give

EXHIBIT-CC

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) SS.
COUNTY OF ST.LOUIS)

AFFIDAVIT AND CONTRACT
AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C.§ 1981

I, Jammie Allmen reporter for the KMOV TV CHANNEL 4 Owners,General Managers,Allan Cohen,Jim Rothshild all others

Enters into this contract agreement with Sylvester Jones,a black male American citizen,resident at 1220 Warren St.City of St.Louis,States of Missouri on this 22ed day of June 1995. And declare that all terms of said contract agreement will be followed to the wording and spirit my myself,my employer,and all other persons,acting in concert and participation with me and my employers,directly or indirectly: Terms:

1.That any article printed or displayed for public viewing,as a result of any interview with Sylvester Jones,on the date herein mentioned,or at any time hereinafter,directly or indirectly,by me(the term "Me" "us" "Myself" are referring too Jammie Allen and Company KMOV TV-4 ,or my employers,or any other person,having means to inform the public of information directly or indirectly,M-U-S-T be pre-approved by Sylvester Jones to whom this interview is with/by me.

2.That Sylvester Jones will receive a accurate copy of any article or otherwise that me,my employers intend to print concerning Sylvester Jones,directly or indirectly,for his/ Sylvester Jones' approval.(For Public viewing information)

This Contract agreement is made pursuant to Federal law,Title 28 USC § 1746. Executed on June 22,1995

Signature Title of Person Date

Sylvester Jones Pro Se Litigant

EXHIBIT-DD

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
Eastern District of Missouri

1114 Market Street
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

314-539-3280
FAX/314-539-2777

May 27, 1994

Mr. Sylvester Jones
Apt. 404 E
1220 Warren St.
St. Louis, Mo.
63106

Re: Jones v Weatherford, et al
E.D. Mo. 4:94CV549 LOD

Dear Mr. Jones,

If you will mail to my attention the complaint, and amended complaints, if any, in the above case, along with a summons, we will acknowledge service for the U.S. Attorney on behalf of all federal defendants in their official capacity.

Very Truly Yours,

Edward L. Dowd, Jr.
United States Attorney

Joseph B. Moore
Chief, Civil Division

JBM/jm

EXHIBIT-3A

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
Eastern District of Missouri

1114 Market Street
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

314-539-3280
FAX/314-539-2777

August 2, 1994.

Sylvester Jones
1220 Warren Street Apt.404E
St. Louis, Mo.
63106

Re: Jones V Sharp Electronics Corp. et al.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are summonses and complaints left at this office directed to the named defendants. We have not been authorized by these individuals to accept service on their behalf.

EDWARD L. DOWD, JR.
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

JOSEPH B. MOORE
Assistant United States Attorney

JBM/jm
enc.

EXHIBIT-4A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

SYLVESTER JONES, Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	No. 4:92CV739 CAS
WILLIAM H. REHNQUIST, Chief Justice, et al., Defendants.	)	

RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

The plaintiff's petition for a writ of mandamus should be denied for the reason that plaintiff does not cite any authority that imposes a duty on the Office of the United States Attorney to personally serve other parties with the summons and complaint.

Copies of summonses and complaints left at this office are being returned to the plaintiff by mail.

Respectfully submitted,

EDWARD L. DOWD, JR.
United States Attorney

JOSEPH B. MOORE
Assistant U.S. Attorney
1114 Market Street, Room 755
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
(314) 539-3280

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing was mailed this 25 day of July, 1994 to Sylvester Jones, 1220 Warren St., Apt. 404 East, St. Louis, Missouri 63106-4052.

JOSEPH B. MOORE

JUL 26 1994

EXHIBIT-5A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-2769EMSL Sylvester Jones, Appellant, vs. Sharp Electronics Corp., et al., Appellees.	•	
No. 95-2770EMSL Sylvester Jones, Appellant, vs. Suburban Newspapers, etc., et al., Appellees.	•	
No. 95-2785EMSL Sylvester Jones, Appellant, vs. ABC-TV, et al., Appellees.	•	
No. 95-2796EMSL Sylvester Jones, Appellant, vs. Chris Weatherford, et al., Appellees.	•	

Appellant's motions to Chief Circuit Judge Richard S. Arnold,

APPENDIX-E

clarification of clerk's letter dated August 31, 1995, and stay of proceedings herein have been considered by the court and are denied.

September 19, 1995

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:

Michael E. Gans

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit

NO PARTIES

FILED

DISTRICT COURT
E. DIST. OF MO.
ST. LOUIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

SYLVESTER JONES, Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	No. 4:94CV1192 CDP
ABC TV, et al., Defendants.	)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on numerous motions filed by various parties, including motions to dismiss filed by all defendants who have been properly served.

Plaintiff's pro se amended complaint in this case names as defendants various television networks, television shows, newspapers, magazines, broadcast networks, entertainment production companies, and various individuals associated with those entities, all of whom are involved in local or national media in some form or another. There are over 300 named defendants. Additionally, plaintiff has filed a variety of motions for joinder and "notices" of additional defendants, although no additional defendants have actually been joined.

Plaintiff's amended complaint alleges that it is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) and § 1986. It alleges that these media defendants' "inactions, indecisions, and omissions, ignored plaintiff's request by the deliberate failure to respond, which conduct aided and abetted the cover up to conceal" various "crimes" committed by federal court officials against the plaintiff. The "crimes" that plaintiff alleges these defendants

APPENDIX-C

aided and abetted appear to be court rulings on various cases filed by the plaintiff in this and other federal courts. He essentially alleges that the media defendants should be held liable to him because, when presented with "evidence" that the federal courts were not handling plaintiff's claims as he deemed appropriate, these media defendants failed to publicize plaintiff's cases. He also includes general allegations that the media defendants have demonstrated racial bias in their handling of criminal or civil trials and investigations relating to O.J. Simpson, Michael Jackson, Paula Jones, President Clinton and Michael Fay.

Section 1985(3) prohibits conspiracies to deprive persons of constitutional rights based upon their race. In order to recover under this section from purely private actors, such as defendants here,

> a plaintiff must show, inter alia, (1) that "some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus [lay] behind the conspirators' actions," Griffin v. Breckenridge, 403 U.S. 88, 102, 91 S. Ct. 1790, 1798, 29 L.Ed.2d 338 (1971), and (2) that the conspiracy "aimed at interfering with rights" that are "protected against private, as well as official, encroachment," Carpenters v. Scott, 463 U.S. 825, 833, 103 S. Ct. 3352, 3358, 77 L.Ed.2d 1049 (1983).

Bray v. Alexandria Women's Health Clinic, 113 S. Ct. 753 (1993). Thus, to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) a plaintiff must allege

> (1) A conspiracy; (2) for the purpose of depriving any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws or of the privileges and immunities secured by the law; (3) an act in furtherance of the conspiracy; and (4) a resulting injury to plaintiff's person or property or a deprivation of a right or privilege

secured for her as a United States citizen. Russell v. City of Overland Police Department, 838 F. Supp. 1350, 1353 (E.D. Mo. 1993). "A claim of conspiracy must be pleaded with particularity and specifically demonstrate with material facts that defendants reached an agreement to violate plaintiff's rights." Id., 838 F. Supp. at 1353, citing City of Omaha Employees Betterment Association v. City of Omaha, 883 F.2d 650, 652 (8th Cir. 1989). Section 1985, however, confers no independent substantive rights and plaintiff therefore must plead and prove the violation of an independent constitutional right in order to recover under this claim. See United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners v. Scott, 463 U.S. 825, 832-34 (1983). For a person to recover on either a section 1981 or a section 1985(3) claim, purposeful discrimination must be established. McIntosh v. Arkansas Republican Party-Frank White Election Committee, 766 F.2d 337, 340 (8th Cir. 1985).

Section 1986 provides a remedy against certain persons who have the power to prevent a violation of § 1985 and fail to do so. The section does not provide any independent cause of action; a violation of § 1985 must exist before any claim under § 1986 may arise. See McIntosh, supra, 766 F.2d at 340.

Initially, the Court notes that plaintiff has brought numerous cases in this Court; at least two of the more recent of those cases directly challenge the court actions which he alleges the defendants in this case failed to publicize. See Jones v. Rehnquist, No. 4:94CV739 FJG, (E.D. Mo. Order of Dismissal dated

January 23, 1995); Jones v. Gaitan, No. 4:95CV222 CDP (E.D. Mo. Order dated May 8, 1995). Thus, plaintiff's direct challenges to the courts' actions have been dismissed for failure to state a claim. Additionally, because of plaintiff's continued abuse of the judicial system, this Court has entered two en banc orders prohibiting this plaintiff from filing additional cases on either a fee paid or an in forma pauperis basis, unless he has complied with certain requirements. See In re Sylvester Jones, Case No. 4:95MC86 CDP (E.D. Mo. Order of Court En Banc dated April 10, 1995).

Even liberally construing plaintiff's complaint, he has failed to state a cause of action against any of the named media defendants in this case, under any theory. Plaintiff essentially argues that these defendants violated his rights by refusing to publicize his frivolous lawsuits. Plaintiff's complaint that these defendants engaged in a racially-based conspiracy fails to allege any factual basis for the conclusory allegations. Indeed, although he uses the word "conspiracy", his only factual allegation is that each of the individual media defendants, when allegedly approached and told of the Court's mistreatment of plaintiff, failed to publicize the actions. Thus, if his complaint is read as a whole, he alleges that each defendant individually took actions with which he disagrees; he has failed to allege any facts showing that any of the media defendants conspired with one another to violate any of his rights.

Moreover, under § 1985(3) plaintiff must allege that the

defendants engaged in a conspiracy to deprive him of equal protection of the laws or of the privileges and immunity secured by the laws. Merely alleging that media defendants conspired to engage in discriminatory news coverage is not sufficient to state a claim under § 1985(3), and plaintiff's allegations do not even rise to that level. There is no constitutional or statutory right of action that would require a television program, newspaper, or news magazine to publish articles or air stories which it chooses not to publish. Plaintiff's mere dissatisfaction with the news coverage given his cases does not give rise to a cause of action under any theory he has alleged here.[1]

Additionally, plaintiff's various motions for sanctions and for leave to join defense counsel as defendants in this case will be denied. From a thorough review of the court file it is abundantly clear that none of the attorneys have done any more than what is required of them in their ethical responsibilities of representing their clients.

Plaintiff has also attempted to join additional defendants by simply filing a "notice" pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986 naming persons he contends are additional defendants. It appears that despite the fact that these proposed defendants have never been properly joined, some of them have been served with process or

---

[1]Numerous defendants have filed motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction or improper service. Although these motions appear well founded, because the Court dismisses the case for failure to state a claim it is not necessary to determine individually whether personal jurisdiction or service is proper.

have been served with notices of the lawsuit and requests for waiver of service of summons. Plaintiff apparently believes that § 1986 provides a means for him to add additional defendants to any existing lawsuit when he feels the lawsuit has not been handled appropriately by the court system or when, for example, he believes that a media member has failed to publicize the lawsuit. To this end he has sought to add various judges and court personnel and additional media defendants to this case. He has also moved for entry of default, for sanctions, and for costs of service as to certain persons who are not defendants.

Neither Rule 4, Fed. R. Civ. P., nor 42 U.S.C. § 1986 provides a means for a plaintiff to obtain service of process over persons who are not properly joined to the action. As plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim against any properly joined or proposed defendant, and as his various motions relating to proposed defendants seek relief to which he is not entitled, the case will be dismissed in its entirety, and all of plaintiff's pending motions will be denied.

Plaintiff has sought to disqualify the undersigned and has sought to add as defendants to this case judges of this Court. Plaintiff has, in numerous other cases, named or attempted to name as defendants all of the district and magistrate judges of this Court, as well as numerous other federal district and circuit judges and Justices of the Supreme Court.[2] Under the

[2]See e.g., Jones v. Rehnquist, 4:95CV739 FJG (E.D. Mo. Order of Dismissal dated January 23, 1995); Jones v. Gaitan, 4:95CV222 CDP (E.D. Mo. Order of Dismissal dated May 8, 1995); In re

particular circumstances of this case and plaintiff's other litigation in this Court, it is my opinion that I should, by necessity, preside over this matter. In cases similar to the one at hand, other courts have followed the maxim that "where all are disqualified, none are disqualified." See Turner v. American Bar Ass'n, 407 F. Supp. 451, 483 (N.D. Tex. 1975) (consolidated cases), aff'd sub nom Pilla v. American Bar Ass'n, 542 F.2d 56, 58-59 (8th Cir. 1976); see also Evans v. Gore, 253 U.S. 245 (1920); Anderson v. Roszkowski, 681 F. Supp. 1284, 1288-90 (N.D. Ill. 1988), aff'd 894 F.2d 1338 (7th Cir. 1990). In one prior case filed by plaintiff, all judges of this Court, because they were all named as defendants in that case, recused themselves from considering the case and asked the Circuit to assign a judge from outside the district. Plaintiff then sued the judge who was assigned from outside the district, as well as the Chief Judge of the Circuit who appointed the out-of-district judge, for allegedly improperly hand-picking the judge to handle the case. See Jones v. Gaitan, 4:94CV222 CDP (E.D.Mo.). Plaintiff has made it clear that he will eventually sue every judge who handles or is assigned to any case in which he is a party. The undersigned believes that allowing plaintiff to obtain a new judge from outside the district each time he files a new case is to allow the plaintiff to engage in improper judge shopping. As all of the judges in this Court have been named as defendants by

Sylvester Jones, 4:94MC86 CDP (E.D. Mo. En Banc Order dated April 10, 1995, and cases cited therein).

plaintiff at some point or another, any one of us is able to handle the case and none of us should be required to recuse ourselves. Were the rule of necessity not invoked it is easy to see that eventually there would be no forum qualified to hear plaintiff's cases, because he would have named all existing judges. Accordingly, the undersigned will not recuse herself and chooses to accept the duty of presiding over this litigation.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss [#35, #36, #37, #43, #85, #115, #162, #163, #212, #213, and #215] are granted and this case is dismissed, with prejudice, against all defendants.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions of attorneys Gene E. Voigts, Sam Colville, Karen N. Frederickson, and Kelli L. Sager to appear pro hac vice [#42, #164, #165] are granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other defendants' motions [#47-1, #47-2, #48-1, #70, #79, #81, #127, #132, #173, #179] are denied as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all of plaintiff's pending motions are denied [#15, #32-1, #32-2, #39, #41, #59-1, #59-2, #67, #74, #77, #88-1, #88-2, #89, #108, #109, #119, #121, #124, #125, #126, #128, #144, #145, #146, #147, #150-1, #150-2, #151, #158, #166-1, #166-2, #167, #168, #170, #0-1, #185-1, and #199-1].

A separate Order of Dismissal is entered this same date.

Catherine D. Perry
CATHERINE D. PERRY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 14th day of June, 1995.

PC PARTIES

FILED

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
E. DIST. OF MO
ST LOUIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

SYLVESTER JONES,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:94-CV-1192-CDP
	)	
ABC TV, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER

This matter is before the Court sua sponte upon various pleadings that plaintiff has submitted for filing in the instant action.

On April 10, 1995, this Court entered an en banc order enjoining plaintiff from filing any pro se action without first receiving leave of court to do so. In addition, the en banc order enjoins plaintiff from filing pleadings subsequent to any new action without first obtaining leave of court to do so. The Court entered these injunctions because of plaintiff's pattern of abusive and frivolous lawsuits. See In re Sylvester Jones, Cause No. 4:95MC86CDP, Order of the Court En Banc (E.D. Mo. April 10, 1995).

On April 10, 1995, plaintiff submitted a document titled "plaintiff's notice to the court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1986 28 U.S.C. Section 1331." A review of this document indicates that it is of the nature that this Court's en banc order addresses and is clearly an attempt to circumvent Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) by adding additional parties and claims without first receiving leave of court to do so. "Plaintiff's notice to the court. . . " is an attempt to join as defendants, among other, all of the district judges of this district and add conspriacy claims that plaintiff has raised in other actions before this Court. This pleading also includes a challenge to this Court's April 10, 1995, Order of the Court En Banc.[1] Because this pleading is obviously an attempt to amend the instant complaint and join parties without first receiving leave of court to do so, the Court will order that it not be filed.

Plaintiff has submitted a "memorandum for clerk" in which he requests that this Court issue summons for a total of fourteen defendants he has attempted to join in the above-referenced pleading. Because plaintiff will be denied leave to file this pleading, the Court will direct the Clerk not to issue summons.

Finally, because plaintiff has served summons in this or in other pending cases on persons who are not in fact defendants to this action, the Court will direct that the clerk's office shall issue no further summons in this case except upon leave of Court.

Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff is **DENIED** leave to file "plaintiff's notice to the court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1986 28 U.S.C. Section 1331."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall not issue summons as to the fourteen defendants that plaintiff has attempted to add to this action.

---

[1]It appears that plaintiff was actually responding to the order to show cause that was issued prior to the en banc order which he mistakenly believed was the en banc order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall not issue any additional summons in this case unless ordered by the Court to do so.

Dated this 5th day of May, 1995.

Catherine D. Perry
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

PC:PARTIES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

MAY 05 1995

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
E. DIST. OF MO.
ST. LOUIS

IN RE SYLVESTER JONES,

ORDER

At the direction of the Court, the Clerk is hereby ordered to transfer the below listed six pending actions to the Honorable Catherine D. Perry, United States District Judge.

4:94CV549	Sylvester Jones vs. Chris Weatherford, et al
4:94CV741	Sylvester Jones vs. JoAnn Farrington, et al
4:94cv899	Sylvester Jones vs. Nadine Strossen, et al
4:94CV1098	Sylvester Jones vs. Sharp Electric, et al
4:94CV1107	Sylvester Jones vs. Suburban Newspaper, et al
4:94CV1192	Sylvester Jones vs. ABC-TV, et al

May 5, 1995
DATE

Robert St. Vrain
ROBERT ST. VRAIN, CLERK

All future filings should contain the initials (CDP) after each of the above listed cause numbers.

APPENDIX-F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER TERM 1995

SYLVESTER JONES,)
PETITIONER.)
)
VS.) No.
)
ABC TELEVISION NETWORK,)
et al.,)
RESPONDENTS.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Petitioner,Sylvester Jones Pro se Pursuant to 28 USC § 1654 hereby certify that on this date 17th of October 1995,Copy of the following were mail U.S.,at St.Louis Missouri,to be served upon the following respondents:

1.Petition for Writ of Certiorari
2.Joint Appendices-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14, 15,16,17,18, and 19.

Respondents: 1.Drew S.Days III,United States Solicitor General.U.S.Department of Justice Washington,D.C. 20530

2.Mr.Bill Clinton,President of the United States, 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue-Washington,D.C. 20500

3.ABC TV Network,President,Roone Aredge and/or
4.ABC 20/20 Barbara Walters and Hugh Downs,
5.ABC,DAY ONE; Forest Sawyer, 6.ABC Nightline,Ted Koppel,
7.ABC PRIMETIME LIVE,Diane Sawyer,Sam Donaldson,
8.ABC NEWS; "Turning Point," Phyllis McGrady,Producer,
9.ABC Evening News,Peter Jennings,
10.ABC Good Morning America; Charles Gibson and Joan Lunden,
11.ABC News, "World News Now," Thaia Assuras and others,

12.CBS Television Network,president,Howard Stringer,and/or
13.ABS NEWS 48 Hours,Dan Rather
14.CBS News "60 Minutes,Mike Wallace etc.,
15.CBS News "Face The Nation," Bob Schiffer,
16.CBS News "This Morning," Harry Smith and Paula Zahn,

17.CBS Evening News with Dan Rather
18.CBS "Sunday Morning." Charles Kuralt,and others,
19.CBS News "Up To The Minute" Tom Bradford,Producer,

20.NBC News "Dateline," Jane Pauley and Stone Phillipe,
21.NBC TV Network, Pierson Mapes,president and/or,

22.KTVI TV. Channel 2; President,and/or others,Mandy Murphy,Anchor,and others-5915 Berthold Ave.St.Louis, Mo.63110.

23.KMOV TV Channel 4: President,,managers,Directors, and,anchors,Larry Canner,Jutuis Hunter,Mary Plelan, Reporter, **"Jammie Allman,"** and others. One Memorial Drive-St.Louis,Mo 63102

24.KSDK TV Channel 5--anchors,Rick Adlund,Karen Foss, Jennifer Blome,Dan Gray,Deanne Lane-1000 Market St. St.louis,Mo 63101

25.KPLR TV Channel 11-- 4935 Lindell Blvd.St.Louis,Mo 63108; Anchors,Jeff Berthal,Kelli Effens,Hal Protter, general manager,and others.

26.The New York,"Times News Papers," and officials Arthue Sulzberger,jr.,publisher,229 W.33rd St. New York,N.Y.10036

27.Washington Post News Papers-1150 15th St.NW. Washington,D.C. 20071--Donald Graham,publisher; executive editor,and managing editor,Leonard Downie, Jr.,and others

28.St.Louis Post Dispatch News Papers-900 N.Tucker Blvd. St.Louis,Mo 63101--Nicholas Penniman,publisher,and/or

29.**"Hard Copy"** Paramount Pictures Corp.,and Barry Nolan, Hosts: Tarry Murphy,Diane Dimond,Steve Bronsten,and/ or--5555 Melrose Ave.,Mae W.Bldg. # 120-Los Angeles, Ca.90038.

30.National Enquirer--233 Park Ave.S. 6th Floor-New York,N.Y.10003-Barbara Hannah Grufferman,publisher.

31.Star An Enquirer/Star Group--233 Park Ave.S.6th Floor, New York,N.Y.10003-Barbara Hannah Grufferman,publisher.

32.Glode Communications Corp.,5401 NW.Broken Sound Blvd. Boca Raton,Fl.33487-Michael Roseenbloom,publisher.

33.BBC Enterprises Limited BBC News TV.,Sarah Frank, president and CEO.,630 Fifth Ave.#2220,New York,N.Y. 10111.

34.The New York Daly News Papers Co.,Corp.,Mortimer Suckerman,publisher,Martin Dunn,Editor-In-Chief, 220 E. 43nd St.New York,N.Y.10017

35.Newsweek Magazine Co/Corp.,Harold Shain,publisher, 444 Madison Ave.New York,N.Y.10022.

36.Newsweek,Magine,In Los Angeles,California,Bureau Chief,Stryker Mc.Gulre-11835 West Olympic,# 870 Los Angeles Ca.90064

37.Newsweek Magazine in Washington,D.C.Bureau Chief, Evan Thomas-1750 Pennsylvania Ave.Washington,D.C.20006.

38.Time News Magazine -Time Life Building.,Rockefeller Center,New York,N.Y.10020-Elizabeth Valk,publisher, Jason McManus,Editor-In-Chief,and others.

39.Time Magazine in Los Angeles,California,Bureau chief, David Jackson-10880 Wilshire Blvd. # 1700-Los Angeles, Ca.90024.

40.Time Magazine in Washington D,C.,Bureau chief,Dan Goodgame-1050 Connecticut Ave.,Washington,D.C.20036.

41.U.S.News and World Report,Richard Thomason,Publisher, Mortimer Zuckerman,Editor-In-Chief-2400 N.Street NW. Washington,D.C. 20027.

42.U.S.News & World Report In New York,Bureau Chief,Scott Minerbrook-1290 Avenue of The American # 600,New York, N.Y.10014.

43.U.S.News and World Report In Chicago,Illinois-435 N. Michigan Ave. # 1600,Chicago Il.60611.

44.Fox Twentieth Syndcation TV program: **"A Current Affairs"** Ian Rae executive producer,and all others-205 E. 67th St.New York,N.Y.10021.

45.Kink World TV **"American Journal,"** Nancy Class,Host, John Tomlin,Executive producer,and others-1700 Broadway,New York,N.Y.10019

46.King World Productions: **"Inside Addition,"** Bob Young, Executive producer,and others-1700 Broadway,New York, N.Y.10019

47.U.S.A.Today News Papers,Co./Corp.,99 W.Hawthorne Ave.

518 Vakkey Stream,N.Y.11580-Stanley Leher,Publisher.

48.World Press Review-200 Madison Ave.New York,N.Y.10016, Teri Schure,Publisher,and others.

49.CNN Cable News Tv.Network,P.O.Box 105366,Atlanta, Georgia,30348,Tom Johnson,President and other.

50.CNN Cable News Network: **"Both Sides With Jesse Jackson"** Host,Jesse jackson,111 Washington,Ave.NW--Washington, D.C. 20001

51.Turner Broadcasting System,Chairman of Board of Directors,and president E.E.(Ted) Turner-P.O.Box 105366. Atlanta,Ga.30348.

52.Life Magazine,Co./Corp.,Time-Life Building-Rockefeller Center,New York,N.Y.10020

53.The Maury Povich Show/Paramount Pictures,Maury Povich, Host,Diane Rappoport,Executive producer-Melrose Ave. Mae W.Building # 120 Los Angeles Ca.90038

Also served upon these Respondents the following:

1.Motion for permission to file petition on Typewritten paper 8½ X 11
2.Motion for permission to pay the **$1,500.00 filing and/or docket fees** at rate of $100.00 per month, based on the Financial statement and total monthly income of **$669.00.** Petitioner's affidavit herewith.
3.Motion for permission to file "Enlarged Petition,"
4.Copy of Certificate of Service.

That with respect to the above,service upon the U.S.Solicitor General,and President Bill Clinton,all respondents will be served beginning October 17,1995 continuing on to November 17,1995.

All Respondents, were served on 10/17/95 copy of the above listed. and on 12/9/95 copy of petition as corrected: **40 pages by direction of Deputy Clerk,and mailed First class to all respondents herein.**

Sylvester Jones Pro Se Petitioner
1220 Warren St Apt 404 E
St.Louis,Mo 63106
(314) 621-7047

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SYLVESTER JONES *v.* ABC-TV ET AL.

ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

No. 95–7186. Decided February 26, 1996

PER CURIAM.

Pro se petitioner Sylvester Jones requests leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under Rule 39 of this Court. We deny this request pursuant to Rule 39.8. Jones is allowed until March 18, 1996, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38 and to submit his petition in compliance with this Court's Rule 33.1. We also direct the Clerk not to accept any further petitions for certiorari from Jones in noncriminal matters unless he pays the docketing fee required by Rule 38 and submits his petition in compliance with Rule 33.1.

Jones has abused this Court's certiorari process. In October 1992 we first invoked Rule 39.8 to deny Jones *in forma pauperis* status in two petitions for certiorari. See *Jones* v. *Wright*, 506 U. S. 810; *In re Jones*, 506 U. S. 810. At that time, Jones had filed over 25 petitions in this Court, all of which were patently frivolous and had been denied without recorded dissent. And since October 1992, we have invoked Rule 39.8 five times to deny Jones *in forma pauperis* status. See *Jones* v. *Schulze*, 513 U. S. ___ (1994); *In re Jones*, 510 U. S. ___ (1993); *Jones* v. *Jackson*, 510 U. S. ___ (1993); *Jones* v. *Suter*, 508 U. S. 949 (1993); *Jones* v. *Jackson*, 506 U. S. 1047 (1993). Currently, Jones has at least two more petitions for certiorari pending.

We enter the order barring prospective filings for the reasons discussed in *Martin* v. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992). Jones' abuse of

the writ of certiorari has been in noncriminal cases and so we limit our sanction accordingly. The order will not prevent Jones from petitioning to challenge criminal sanctions which might be imposed against him. The order will, however, allow this Court to devote its limited resources to the claims of petitioners who have not abused our certiorari process.

JUSTICE BREYER took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion.

It is so ordered.

JUSTICE STEVENS, dissenting.

For the reasons I have previously expressed, I respectfully dissent. See *Attwood* v. *Singletary*, 516 U. S. ___, ___ (1996) (STEVENS, J., dissenting); *Martin* v. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1, 4 (1992) (STEVENS, J., dissenting); *Zatko* v. *California*, 502 U. S. 16, 18 (1991) (STEVENS, J., dissenting).